arXiv:math/0204103v1 [math.QA] 9 Apr 2002

Quantum Symmetric Pairs and Their Zonal Spherical Functions

Gail Letzter[1]
Mathematics Department
Virginia Polytechnic Institute
and State University
Blacksburg, VA 24061

Abstract

We study the space of biinvariants and zonal spherical functions associated to quantum symmetric pairs in the maximally split case. Under the obvious restriction map, the space of biinvariants is proved isomorphic to the Weyl group invariants of the character group ring associated to the restricted roots. As a consequence, there is either a unique set, or an (almost) unique two-parameter set of Weyl group invariant quantum zonal spherical functions associated to an irreducible symmetric pair. Included is a complete and explicit list of the generators and relations for the left coideal subalgebras of the quantized enveloping algebra used to form quantum symmetric pairs.

INTRODUCTION

The representation theory of semisimple Lie algebras and Lie groups has been closely intertwined with the theory of symmetric spaces since E. Cartan's pioneering work in the 1920's. A beautiful classical result shows that the zonal spherical functions of these spaces can be identified with a family of orthogonal polynomials. With the introduction of quantum groups in the 1980's, it was natural to look for and study quantum versions of symmetric spaces. This search became especially compelling as q orthogonal polynomials, which are obvious candidates for quantum zonal spherical functions, appeared in the literature (see for example [Ma] and [K2]). However, the theory of quantum symmetric spaces was initially slow to develop because it was not obvious how to form them.

---

[1] supported by NSA grant no. MDA904-01-1-0033.

AMS subject classification 17B37

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex semisimple Lie algebra and let θ be an involution of $\mathfrak{g}$. A classical (infinitesimal) symmetric pair consists of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and the fixed Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$. In his fundamental paper [K1], Koornwinder was the first to use an infinitesimal approach to construct quantum symmetric spaces. In particular, he found quantum analogs of a symmetric pair of Lie algebras for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{sl}\ 2$ using twisted primitive elements. As this method was generalized to other examples, it became clear that coideal analogs of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ inside the quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ had the potential to produce a "good" theory of quantum symmetric spaces (see for example [N],[NS], and [DN].) In his 1996 survey paper [Di], Dijkhuizen describes the philosophy of this approach in three major steps which we briefly rephrase here.

1. Find coideals inside the quantized enveloping algebra which can be used to form quantum symmetric pairs. Show that the finite-dimensional spherical modules can be parametrized using the dominant integral restricted roots.
2. Determine the image of the space of biinvariant functions associated to a quantum symmetric pair inside the character ring of the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$.
3. Realize zonal spherical functions as q-orthogonal polynomials by computing the radial components of appropriate central elements of the quantized enveloping algebra.

This paper is one of a series by the author intended to answer these questions when the triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ has been chosen so that the Cartan subalgebra is maximally split with respect to θ. Quantum analogs of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ are constructed in [L2] and [L4] by describing their generators. These subalgebras of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ are further characterized as the unique maximal left coideal subalgebras which specialize to $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ as q goes to 1. The finite-dimensional spherical modules associated to quantum symmetric pairs are classified in [L3], thus completing problem (1).

The main result of this paper is a comprehensive answer to problem (2). Let $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ denote the set of coideal subalgebras associated to $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$. For each pair of subalgebras B and B' in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$, the space of biinvariants ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ consists of the left B' and right B invariants inside the quantized function algebra $R_q[G]$ corresponding to $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. The vector space ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ is an algebra and can be written as a direct sum of eigenspaces with respect to the action of the center of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. The eigenvectors with respect to this action are called zonal spherical functions.

Elements of the quantized function algebra can be thought of as functions on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Recall that $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ contains a multiplicative subgroup T corresponding to the root lattice of the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let Σ denote the restricted root system associated to $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$ and let $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$ be the character group ring of the weight lattice corresponding to 2Σ. We prove that restriction to T of the space of biinvariants (considered as functions on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$) induces an injection Υ from ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ into $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$.

Let W denote the restricted Weyl group associated to Σ. Let $\mathbf{H}$ denote the group of Hopf algebra automorphisms of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ which fix elements of T. Note that $\mathbf{H}$ acts on $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ by sending an algebra to its image under the automorphism. Given $B \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$ and any $\mathbf{H}$ orbit $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ we find $B' \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $\Upsilon({}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B)$ is W invariant. We then show, in this case, that $\Upsilon({}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B)$ is equal to $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$.

The action of $\mathbf{H}$ on $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ can be extended componentwise to an action of the group $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ on $\mathcal{B}_\theta \times \mathcal{B}_\theta$. We show that the images under Υ of two different spaces of biinvariants associated to pairs in the same $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ orbit are related by an automorphism of $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$. Thus the image of any space of biinvariants under Υ is just a translation of $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$ via an automorphism of $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$. Furthermore, this automorphism restricts to an eigenvalue preserving function on the corresponding sets of zonal spherical functions.

A zonal spherical family associated to a $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ orbit is the image under Υ of a specially chosen basis of zonal spherical functions in ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ for some pair (B, B') in this orbit. We show that there is a vitually unique W invariant zonal spherical family associated to each $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ orbit of $\mathcal{B}_\theta \times \mathcal{B}_\theta$. The obstruction to uniqueness, a small finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$, is just the trivial group for most choices of $\mathfrak{g}$ and θ.

The pair $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$, or more precisely, $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$, is called irreducible if $\mathfrak{g}$ cannot be written as the direct sum of two semisimple Lie subalgebras which both admit θ as an involution. In [A] (see also [He, Chapter X, Section F]), Araki gave a complete list of all the irreducible pairs $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$. In the final section of the paper, using this list and the construction ([L4, Section 7]) of the algebras in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$, we explicitly describe the generators and relations for every coideal subalgebra in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ associated to each irreducible pair $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$. It follows from this classification that if $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$ is irreducible, either $\mathcal{B}_\theta \times \mathcal{B}_\theta$ consists of a single $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ orbit or a two-parameter set of orbits. In the first case, there is a unique W invariant zonal spherical family associated to $\mathcal{B}_\theta$. For the latter case, there is either a unique two-parameter set of W invariant zonal spherical families associated to $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ or exactly two two-parameter sets.

In a future paper, we will address problem (3) and realize these W invariant zonal spherical families as sets of q hypergeometric polynomials. Apparently, when Σ is reduced and $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ is a single $\mathbf{H}\times\mathbf{H}$ orbit, the zonal spherical functions are Macdonald polynomials. Here, one of the parameters is a power of the other. This would generalize the results in [N] as well as those announced in [NS] concerning quantum symmetric pairs which can be constructed using solutions to reflection equations. Other q hypergeometric functions such as Askey-Wilson polynomials with two indeterminates arise in the remaining cases. This should remind the reader of the zonal spherical functions computed on the quantum 2-sphere in [K1] and on the family of quantum projective spaces studied in [DN].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 1 sets notation and reviews basic facts about involutions, restricted root systems, and quantized enveloping algebras. In Section 2, we review the construction of the quantum analogs of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ inside $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Section 3 is a study of spherical modules and their spherical vectors. Fine information about the possible weights of weight vectors which show up as summands of spherical vectors is obtained. The next three sections of the paper are devoted to the space of biinvariants and their zonal spherical functions. In Section 4, the map Υ restricted to a space of biinvariants is shown to be injective with image contained in $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$. Section 5 establishes a criterion for choosing B and B' so that ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ is W invariant. Section 6 is a study of zonal spherical families. We show how to relate them using elements of the group $\mathbf{H}\times\mathbf{H}$ and determine necessary and sufficient conditions for a zonal spherical family to be W invariant. In Section 7, we give a complete and explicit list of generators and relations for the algebras in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ associated to each irreducible pair $\mathfrak{g},\theta$.

1 Background and Notation

Let $\mathbf{C}$ denote the complex numbers, $\mathbf{R}$ denote the real numbers, $\mathbf{Q}$ denote the rational numbers, $\mathbf{Z}$ denote the integers, and $\mathbf{N}$ denote the nonnegative integers. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{n}^-\oplus\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{n}^+$ be a semisimple Lie algebra of rank n over

$\mathbf{C}$ with Cartan matrix (a_{ij}). Write Δ for the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\pi = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ be a fixed set of positive simple roots. Set $Q(\pi) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_i$ and $Q^+(\pi) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbf{N}\alpha_i$. Let $P^+(\pi)$ denote the set of dominant integral weights associated to the root system Δ and $P(\pi)$ denote the weight lattice. Write $(\ , \ )$ for the Cartan inner product. Let $\leq$ denote the standard partial order on $Q(\pi)$. In particular, given two elements λ and β in $Q(\pi)$, we say that $\lambda \leq \beta$ if and only if $\lambda - \beta \in Q^+(\pi)$.

Let θ be a maximally split Lie algebra involution of $\mathbf{g}$ with respect to the fixed Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the sense of [L4, Section 7]. Write $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ for the corresponding fixed Lie subalgebra. The involution θ induces an involution Θ of the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ and thus an automorphism of $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Let π_Θ be the set of simple roots $\{\alpha_i | \Theta(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i\}$ and write Δ_Θ for the corresponding root system generated by π_Θ. Let p be the permutation on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ corresponding to a diagram automorphism of π such that $\Theta(\alpha_i) + \alpha_{p(i)} \in \sum_{\alpha_i \in \pi_\Theta} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_i$ for each $\alpha_i \in \pi - \pi_\Theta$. (For more information about involutions, see for example [D, 1.13] and [L4, Section 7]).

Given $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, set $\tilde{\beta} = 1/2(\beta - \Theta(\beta))$. Let Σ denote the restricted root system associated to $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$ ([Kn, Chapter VI, Section 4], [He, Chapter X, Section F], or [L4, Section 7]). Note that Σ can be identified with the set $\{\tilde{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Delta - \Delta_\Theta\}$ using the Cartan inner product as the inner product for Σ. Moreover, $\{\tilde{\alpha}_i | \alpha_i \in \pi - \pi_\Theta\}$ is a set of positive simple roots for the root system Σ. Set $Q(\Sigma)$ equal to the root lattice $\sum_i \mathbf{Z}\tilde{\alpha}_i$ of Σ and set $P(\Sigma)$ equal to the weight lattice associated to the root system Σ. Let $P^+(\Sigma)$ denote the subset of $P(\Sigma)$ consisting of dominant integral weights. Set $P(2\Sigma) = \{2\lambda | \lambda \in P(\Sigma)\}$.

Let q be an indeterminate and set $q_i = q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Write $U = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ for the quantized enveloping algebra generated by x_i, y_i, $t_i^{\pm 1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, over the algebraic closure $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathbf{C}(q)$ (See [L4, Section 1, (1.4)-(1.10)] or [Jo, 3.2.9] for relations.) Let U^- be the subalgebra of U generated by $y_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and let U^+ be the subalgebra of U generated by $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Given an integral domain D containing $\mathcal{C}$, we set $U_D = U \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} D$.

The algebra U is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication map $\mathit{\Delta}$, antipode σ, and counit ϵ. Let U_+ denote the augmentation ideal of U, which is the kernel of the counit map ϵ. Given a subalgebra A of U, we write A_+ for the intersection of A with U_+.

We use Sweedler notation for the coproduct. In particular, we write

$$\Delta(a) = \sum a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}$$

for each $a \in U$. Recall that a subalgebra S of U is called a left coideal subalgebra if $\Delta(a) \in U \otimes S$ for all $a \in S$.

Let ad_r denote the right adjoint action defined by

$$(\mathrm{ad}_r\ a)b = \sum \sigma(a_{(1)})ba_{(2)} \tag{1.1}$$

for all $a \in U$ and $b \in U$. For example,

$$(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_j)b = -t_j^{-1}x_jb + t_j^{-1}bx_j \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathrm{ad}_r\ y_j)b = by_j - y_jt_jbt_j^{-1} \tag{1.2}$$

for $b \in U$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Let T be the group generated by the t_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let τ be the isomorphism from $Q(\pi)$ to T which sends α_i to t_i. Write U^o for the group algebra generated by T. Define the subgroup T_Θ of T by

$$T_\Theta = \{\tau(\lambda) | \lambda \in Q(\pi) \text{ and } \Theta(\lambda) = \lambda\}.$$

Let M be a U module. We say that a nonzero vector $v \in U$ has weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ provided that $\tau(\lambda) \cdot v = q^{(\gamma,\lambda)}v$ for all $\tau(\lambda) \in T$. For any vector subspace $V \subset M$, write V_γ for the subspace of V spanned by the γ weight vectors. If V is a vector subspace of U, then V_γ is the subspace of V consisting of γ weight vectors with respect to the adjoint action. Now suppose that F is both a subalgebra and ad T submodule of U. Then for any subgroup S of T, we write FS for the subalgebra of U generated by F and S. Note that FS is spanned as a vector space over $\mathcal{C}$ by elements as with $a \in F$ and $s \in S$.

2 Quantum Symmetric Pairs

Quantum analogs of the pair $U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ associated to a maximally split involution are constructed and characterized up to Hopf algebra automorphism in [L2] and [L4, Section 7]. These analogs consist of U and a maximal left coideal subalgebra B of U which specializes to $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ as q goes to 1 (The reader is referred to [L4, Section 1, following (1.10)] for the precise definition of specialization used here, [L4, (7.25)] for the notion of maximal, and [L4, Theorem 7.5].) We review the construction of these subalgebras here.

From now on, Θ will denote an involution of the root system Δ induced by a maximally split involution of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the subalgebra of U generated by x_i, y_i, $t_i^{\pm 1}$ for $\alpha_i \in \pi_\Theta$. Set $\mathcal{M}^+$ equal to the subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ generated by the x_i, $\alpha_i \in \pi_\Theta$.

Let $[\Theta]$ be the set of all maximally split involutions of $\mathfrak{g}$ which induce the involution Θ on Δ. Note that two involutions in $[\Theta]$ are conjugate to each other via a Lie algebra automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ which fixes the Cartan subalgebra. Let θ be the particular choice of maximally split involution in $[\Theta]$ as described in [L4, Section 7, the discussion following (7.5)] and $\tilde{\theta}$ be the automorphism of U defined in [L4, Theorem 7.1] which specializes to θ. We describe the action of $\tilde{\theta}$ on y_i for $\alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta$. (Note that replacing each x_j by e_j, each t_j with 1, and setting $q = 1$ will recover the action of θ on f_i. Since θ is the identity on the positive and negative root vectors corresponding to roots in π_Θ and the action of θ on $\mathfrak{h}$ corresponds to the action of Θ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$, this information is enough to determine θ.)

Let π^* be the subset of $\pi - \pi_\Theta$ consisting of all $\alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta$ such that either $i = p(i)$ or $i < p(i)$. Write $x_i^{(m)}$ and $y_i^{(m)}$ where $m \in \mathbf{N}$ for the divided powers of x_i and y_i repectively (see [Jo, 4.3.14 and 1.2.12]). Given i such that $\alpha_i \in \pi^*$, there exists a sequence $\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_r}$ consisting of elements in π_Θ and positive integers $m_1, \dots, m_r$ subject to

$$\tilde{\theta}(y_i) = (\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i_1}^{(m_1)}) \cdots (\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i_r}^{(m_r)}) t_{p(i)}^{-1} x_{p(i)} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\tilde{\theta}(y_{p(i)}) = (-1)^{(m_1 + \cdots + m_r)} (\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i_r}^{(m_r)}) \cdots (\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i_1}^{(m_1)}) t_i^{-1} x_i. \tag{2.2}$$

Using (1.1), it is straightforward to check that $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ y_j) t_i^{-1} x_i = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$. Thus $t_i^{-1} x_i$ is a lowest weight vector with respect to the action of $\mathrm{ad}_r\ \mathcal{M}$ for all $\alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta$. It follows as in the classical case ([L4, discussion following (7.6)]) that these sequences satisfy the following property. For each $r \geq s > 0$,

$$X_s = (\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i_s}^{(m_s)}) (\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i_{s+1}}^{(m_{s+1})}) \cdots (\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i_r}^{(m_r)}) t_{p(i)}^{-1} x_{p(i)} \tag{2.3}$$

is a highest weight vector for the action of $\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i_s}$ and X_{s+1} is a lowest weight vector for the action of $\mathrm{ad}_r\ y_{i_s}$. Moreover, $\tilde{\theta}(y_i)$ is a highest weight vector for the action of $\mathrm{ad}_r\ \mathcal{M}$.

Set $\mathcal{S}$ equal to the subset of π^* consisting of α_i such that $\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$ and $2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)/(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)$ is even for all α_j such that $\Theta(\alpha_j) = -\alpha_j$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the subset of π^* consisting of those α_i such that $i \neq p(i)$ and $(\alpha_i, \Theta(\alpha_i)) \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be an integral domain containing the complex numbers $\mathbf{C}$ and contained in some field extension $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Z})$ of $\mathcal{C}$. Write $\mathcal{Z}^\times$ for the nonzero elements of $\mathcal{Z}$. Define

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{Z}) = \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{Z}^n | s_i = 0 \text{ for } \alpha_i \notin \mathcal{S}\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{Z}) = \{\mathbf{d} \in (\mathcal{Z}^\times)^n | d_i = 1 \text{ for } \alpha_i \notin \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Given $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{Z})$, the subalgebra $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ of $U_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Z})}$ is generated by T_Θ, $\mathcal{M}$, and the elements B^θ_{i,s_i,d_i} for $\alpha_i \in \pi - \pi_\Theta$ where

$$B^\theta_{i,s_i,d_i} = y_i t_i + d_i \tilde{\theta}(y_i) t_i + s_i t_i. \tag{2.4}$$

We abbreviate B^θ_{i,s_i,d_i} by B_i when s_i, d_i, and $\tilde{\theta}$ can be understood from the context. Note that if $i = p(i)$, then the definitions of B_i and $B_{p(i)}$ using (2.4) agree.

The next theorem follows as in the proof of [L4, Theorem 7.2]. (See also [L4, Variations 1 and 2].)

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be an extension field $\mathcal{C}$. For each $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{Z})$, the algebra $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ is a left coideal subalgebra of $U_{\mathcal{Z}}$.*

Recall that a pair $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$ is called ireducible if $\mathfrak{g}$ cannot be written as the direct sum of two semisimple Lie subalgebras which both admit θ as an involution. In Section 7, we give a complete list of coideal subalgebras $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ associated to irreducible pairs $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$. From this list, it can be seen that if $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$ is irreducible, then either both $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are the empty set, or one is the empty set and the other consists of exactly one root.

Set $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ equal to the union of the orbits of all $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ under the action of $\mathbf{H}$ where $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$. More generally, given an integral domain $\mathcal{Z}$ containing $\mathcal{C}$, let $\mathcal{B}_\theta(\mathcal{Z})$ be the set of algebras B which are isomorphic via a Hopf algebra automorphism fixing $\mathcal{M}T_\Theta$ of $U_{\mathcal{Z}}$ to $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$, for some $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{Z})$. Note that if both $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are empty, then $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ consists of exactly one orbit under this action. It follows from the description of the group of Hopf algebra automorphisms of U in [Jo, Section 10.4] that the set

$\{B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}} | \ \mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})\}$ is a complete set of distinct representatives for the orbits of $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ under $\mathbf{H}$.

Let $\hat{U}$ denote the $\mathbf{C}[q]_{q-1}$ subalgebra of U generated by $x_i, y_i, t_i^{\pm 1}$, and $(t_i - 1)/(q-1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\theta,1}$ be the set of maximal left coideal subalgebras of U such that $B \cap \hat{U}$ specializes to $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ as q goes to 1. In particular, $\mathcal{B}_{\theta,1}$ is the set of quantum analogs of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ in the sense of [L2] and [L4]. By [L4, Theorem 7.5], every algebra in $\mathcal{B}_{\theta,1}$ is isomorphic to an appropriate $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ for some $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{C}[q]_{(q-1)})$ and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{C}[q]_{(q-1)})$ via some Hopf algebra automorphism in $\mathbf{H}$.

It should be noted that for certain θ, some of the algebras in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ have appeared in the literature in papers not written by the author. The most prominent of these algebras is the nonstandard quantum analog $U_q'(\mathbf{so}\ n)$ of $U(\mathbf{so}\ n)$ introduced by Gavrilik and Klimyk in [GK]. This algebra is equal to $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ when $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$ is of type **AI** as described in Section 7. The representation theory of $U_q'(\mathbf{so}\ n)$ has been extensively investigated in a series of papers by Gavrilik, Klimyk, and others (see for example [GI], [GIK], and [HKP]). In [NS], Noumi and Sugitani construct subalgebras $U_q^{tw}(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ of U which are quantum analogs of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ for many of the pairs $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$, when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of classical type. Their approach is completely different and involves solutions to reflection equations. Nevertheless, it is shown in [L2, Section 6], that for a given pair $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$, each subalgebra $U_q^{tw}(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ constructed in [NS] belongs to $\mathcal{B}_\theta$.

3 Spherical modules

Let $L(\lambda)$ denote the finite-dimensional simple U module with highest weight λ where $\lambda \in P^+(\pi)$. Given a left coideal subalgebra B in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ of U, we say that $L(\lambda)$ is spherical with respect to B if $L(\lambda)^B = \{v \in L(\lambda) | bv = \epsilon(b)v\}$ is a one-dimensional space. Necessary and sufficient conditions for $L(\lambda)$ to be spherical with respect to elements B in $\mathcal{B}_{\theta,1}$ are established in [L3, Section 4]. This result is extended in this paper to all algebras in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$.

Let $\{\zeta_i | \alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}\} \cup \{\eta_j | \alpha_j \in \mathcal{D}\}$ be a set of $|\mathcal{S}| + |\mathcal{D}|$ indeterminates. Note that -1 cannot be written as a sum of squares in the field $\mathbf{R}(q)(\zeta, \eta)$ generated by these indeterminates over $\mathbf{R}(q)$. It follows that $\mathbf{R}(q)(\zeta, \eta)$ is a formally real field. Thus we can choose a set of positive elements for the field $\mathbf{R}(q)(\zeta, \eta)$ containing η_j, for each $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the algebraic closure of $\mathbf{R}(q)(\zeta, \eta)$. Set $\mathcal{R}$ equal to the real algebraic closure of $\mathbf{R}(q)(\zeta, \eta)$

inside of $\mathcal{K}$ and let $\mathcal{R}^+$ denote the set of positive elements of $\mathcal{R}$. (For more information on formally real fields and real algebraic closures, the reader is referred to [J, Chapter 11].)

Note that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{R}$ and thus complex conjugation extends to $\mathcal{K}$. Let κ be the conjugate linear antiautomorphism of $U_{\mathcal{K}}$ defined by $\kappa(x_i) = y_i t_i$, $\kappa(y_i) = t_i^{-1}x_i$ and $\kappa(t) = t$ for all $t \in T$. In particular, κ restricts to an antiautomorphism of $U_{\mathcal{R}}$ and acts as conjugation on elements of $\mathcal{C}$. Note that κ^2 is just the identity. Let $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$ denote the subgroup of $\mathbf{H}$ whose elements restrict to Hopf algebra automorphisms of $U_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Let $\mathbf{s}(\zeta) = (s(\zeta_1), \ldots, s(\zeta_n))$ be the element of $\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $s(\zeta_i) = \zeta_i$ for all $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$. Similarly, let $\mathbf{d}(\eta) = (d(\eta_1), \ldots, d(\eta_n))$ be the element of $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $d(\eta_j) = \eta_j$ for all $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $d(\eta_j) = 1$ for $\alpha_j \notin \mathcal{D}$, it follows that $d(\eta_j) \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. By the definition of real algebraic closures, every positive element in $\mathcal{R}$ has a square root in $\mathcal{R}$. Let $d(\eta_i)^{1/2}$ denote the positive square root of $d(\eta_i)$ for each i. We have the following generalizations of [L3, Lemma 3.2] and [L4, Theorem 7.6].

Theorem 3.1 *There exists a Hopf algebra automorphism $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$ such that $\varphi\kappa\varphi^{-1}(B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}(\zeta),\mathbf{d}(\eta)}) = B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}(\zeta),\mathbf{d}(\eta)}$. Moreover $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}(\zeta),\mathbf{d}(\eta)}$ acts semisimply on all finite-dimensional $U_{\mathcal{K}}$-modules.*

Proof: The first assertion implies the second statement by [L4, Section 2]. The proof presented here for the first assertion does not follow the original argument given in [L3] which uses specialization at $q = 1$. Instead, it is based closely on the discussion leading up to [L4, Theorem 7.6].

Set $B = B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}(\zeta),\mathbf{d}(\eta)}$ and $B_i = B^{\theta}_{i,s(\zeta_i),d(\eta_i)}$ for each $\alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta$. Note that

$$(\varphi\kappa\varphi^{-1})(\mathcal{M}T_\Theta) = \mathcal{M}T_\Theta$$

and $(\varphi\kappa\varphi^{-1})^2 = \kappa^2$ is the identity on $U_{\mathcal{K}}$ for all $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$. Hence it is sufficient to find $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$ such that $\varphi\kappa\varphi^{-1}(B)$ contains B_i for each $\alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta$.

A straightforward computation using (1.2) shows that

$$q^{(\lambda,\alpha_i)}c\tau(\lambda)y_i - y_i t_i c\tau(\lambda)t_i^{-1} = [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ y_i)c]\tau(\lambda)$$

for all $c \in U$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\tau(\lambda) \in T$. Thus $[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ y_i)b\tau(\lambda)^{-1}]\tau(\lambda)t \in B$ for any $\tau(\lambda) \in T$, $t \in T_\Theta$, $\alpha_i \in \pi_\Theta$, and $b \in B$. As in [L4, following the proof of Theorem 7.5], we have

$$(\mathrm{ad}_r y_{i_1}^{(m_1)}) \cdots (\mathrm{ad}_r y_{i_r}^{(m_r)})\tilde{\theta}(y_{p(i)}) = (-1)^{(m_1+\cdots+m_r)}t_i^{-1}x_i$$

and $\kappa((\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_j)b) = -((\mathrm{ad}_r\ y_j)\kappa(b)$ for all $b \in U$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus (2.1) implies that

$$\kappa(\tilde{\theta}(y_i)) = (-1)^{(m_1+\ldots+m_r)}(\mathrm{ad}_r\ y_{i_1}^{(m_1)}) \cdots (\mathrm{ad}_r\ y_{i_r}^{(m_r)})y_{p(i)}.$$

Moreover, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\kappa(\tilde{\theta}(y_i))t_i + d(\eta_{p(i)})t_i^{-1}x_it_i + s(\zeta_i)t_i \\
&\quad = (-1)^{(m_1+\cdots+m_r)}[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ y_{i_1}^{(m_1)}) \cdots (\mathrm{ad}_r\ y_{i_r}^{(m_r)})B_{p(i)}t_{p(i)}^{-1}]t_{p(i)}(t_{p(i)}^{-1}t_i)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

is an element of B.

Let φ be the Hopf algebra automorphism in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$ defined by

$$\varphi(x_j) = q^{(\alpha_j, -\alpha_j+\Theta(\alpha_j))/4}d(\eta_j)^{1/2}x_j \text{ for } \alpha_j \notin \pi_\Theta.$$

Temporarily write $\kappa_1 = \varphi\kappa\varphi^{-1}$. By (2.1) and (2.2), we see that $\Theta(\alpha_i) - \alpha_i = \Theta(\alpha_{p(i)}) - \alpha_{p(i)}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\alpha_i, \Theta(\alpha_i) - \alpha_i) = (\alpha_i, \Theta(\alpha_{p(i)}) - \alpha_{p(i)}) = (\alpha_i, \Theta(\alpha_{p(i)})) - (\alpha_i, \alpha_{p(i)}) \\
&\quad = (\Theta(\alpha_i) - \alpha_i, \alpha_{p(i)}) = (\alpha_{p(i)}, \Theta(\alpha_{p(i)}) - \alpha_{p(i)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, a straightforward computation using the fact that $s(\zeta_i) = 0$ for $\alpha_i \notin \mathcal{S}$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i+\Theta(\alpha_i))/2}\kappa_1(\kappa(\tilde{\theta}(y_i))t_i + d(\eta_{p(i)})t_i^{-1}x_it_i + \tilde{s}_it_i) \\
&\quad = d(\eta_{p(i)})d(\eta_i)^{-1}(y_it_i + d(\eta_{p(i)})\tilde{\theta}(y_i)t_i + s(\zeta_i)t_i) = d(\eta_{p(i)})d(\eta_i)^{-1}B_i
\end{aligned}$$

is an element of $\kappa_1(B)$ for all $\alpha_i \in \pi_\Theta$. Therefore $B = \kappa_1(B)$. □

Recall that $P^+(\Sigma)$ is the subset of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ consisting of dominant integral weights associated to the root system Σ. Let P^+_Θ be the set of all $\lambda \in P^+(\pi)$ such that

(i) $(\lambda, \beta) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*_\Theta$.

(ii) $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\beta})/(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta})$ is an integer for every restricted root $\tilde{\beta} \in \Sigma$.

By [He2, Chapter II, Theorem 4.8], P^+_Θ is just the intersection of $P(2\Sigma)$ with $P^+(\Sigma)$.

Given $\lambda \in P^+(\pi)$ and an integral domain $\mathcal{Z}$ containing $\mathcal{C}$, we set $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{Z}} = L(\lambda)\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{Z}$. We have the following criterion for $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{K}}$ to be a spherical module with respect to the the algebra $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}(\zeta),\mathbf{d}(\eta)}$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $B = B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}(\zeta),\mathbf{d}(\eta)}$ Then*

$$\dim L(\lambda)^B_{\mathcal{K}} \leq 1 \tag{3.2}$$

for all $\lambda \in P^+(\pi)$. Moreover equality holds in (3.2) and thus $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{K}}$ is spherical with respect to $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}(\zeta),\mathbf{d}(\eta)}$ if and only if $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$.

Proof: The first assertion follows as in the proof of [L4, Theorem 7.7(i)] and the second assertion follows as in the proof of [L3, Theorem 4.3] using Theorem 3.1. □

Fix $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$. Given a weight μ of $L(\lambda)$, let $\{v^i_\mu\}_i$ be a basis of weight vectors for the μ weight space of $L(\lambda)$ where i varies over a finite set. Note that the λ weight space of $L(\lambda)$ has dimension 1 and so $\{v^i_\lambda\}_i$ has only one element. Set $v_\lambda = v^1_\lambda$. Given a vector $v = \sum_{i,\mu} g^i_\mu v^i_\mu$ in $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{K}}$, set

$$\mathrm{Supp}(v) = \{\mu | g^i_\mu \neq 0 \text{ for some } i\}.$$

Write $\mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]$ for the polynomial ring $\mathcal{C}[\zeta_i,\eta_j|\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \alpha_j \in \mathcal{D}]$. Set

$$C_k = x_k + q_k^2 d(\eta_{p(k)})^{-1}\kappa(\tilde{\theta}(y_k))t_k + q_k^2 s(\zeta_k)(t_k - 1) \tag{3.3}$$

for $\alpha_k \notin \pi_\Theta$ and set $C_k = x_k$ for $\alpha_k \in \pi_\Theta$. Note that $\tilde{\theta}(y_k) \in U_{\mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]}$ for each $\alpha_k \notin \pi_\Theta$. Hence, by (3.1) and the definition of the counit of U, each C_k is an element of $B_+ \cap U_{\mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]}$.

The next result provides finer information about the invariant vectors of spherical modules.

Lemma 3.3 *Suppose that $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ is a nonzero $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}(\zeta),\mathbf{d}(\eta)}$ invariant vector of $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{K}}$. Then*

$$\tilde{\xi}_\lambda \in v_\lambda + \sum_{\mu<\lambda,k} \mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]v^k_\mu$$

up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar.

Proof: Let a_λ denote the coefficient of v_λ in $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ written as a linear combination of the basis vectors $\{v^i_\mu\}$ of $L(\lambda)$. Rescaling if necessary, we may assume that a_λ equals 0 or 1.

We can write $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ as a linear combination of weight vectors: $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda = \sum_\mu w_\mu$. For each weight γ of $L(\lambda)$, let $y^i_{-\lambda+\gamma}$ be weight vectors in $U^-_{-\lambda+\gamma}$ such that

$y^i_{-\lambda+\gamma}v_\lambda = v^i_\gamma$. It is well known that there exists a set of weight vectors $\{x^i_{\lambda-\gamma}\}$ in $U^+_{\lambda-\gamma}$ such that $x^i_{\lambda-\gamma}v^j_\gamma = \delta_{ij}v_\lambda$. It follows that $\sum_i y^i_{-\lambda+\gamma}x^i_{\lambda-\gamma}w_\gamma = w_\gamma$. In particular, $w_\gamma \in \sum_i Ux_iw_\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in \mathrm{Supp}(\tilde{\xi}_\lambda) - \{\lambda\}$.

Partition $\mathrm{Supp}(\tilde{\xi}_\lambda)$ into two sets I_1 and I_2 as follows. The weight γ is in I_1 provided w_γ is a nonzero element of $a_\lambda U_{\mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]}v_\lambda$. The second set I_2 is simply the complement of I_1 in $\mathrm{Supp}(\tilde{\xi}_\lambda)$. Note that $I_1 \cup I_2$ is nonempty since $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$ is nonzero. We show that I_2 is empty. This in turn implies that $I_1 = \mathrm{Supp}(\tilde{\xi}_\lambda)$ and $a_\lambda = 1$, which proves the theorem.

Assume I_2 is nonempty and let γ be a maximal element of I_2. Fix i. The maximality of the choice of γ and the definition of C_k ensures that

$$C_k\sum_{\mu\in I_2} w_\mu \in x_kw_\gamma + \sum_{\mu\not\geq\gamma+\alpha_k}(L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{K}})_\mu.$$

Recall that that $C_k\tilde{\xi}_\lambda = 0$. Thus

$$C_k\sum_{\mu\in I_1} w_\mu \in -x_kw_\gamma + \sum_{\mu\not\geq\gamma+\alpha_k}(L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{K}})_\mu.$$

However, since $C_k \in U_{\mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]}$, it follows that

$$C_k\sum_{\mu\in I_1} w_\mu \in a_\lambda U_{\mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]}v_\lambda.$$

Thus $x_kw_\gamma \in a_\lambda U_{\mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]}v_\lambda$. for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. It follows that

$$w_\gamma \in \sum_k Ux_kw_\gamma \in a_\lambda U_{\mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]}v_\lambda,$$

a contradiction. Therefore I_2 is empty. $\square$

Note that Theorem 3.2 was originally proved in [L3] only for subalgebras in $\mathcal{B}_{\theta,1}$. Now consider spherical vectors corresponding to a subalgebra B of U in the larger set $\mathcal{B}_\theta$. The argument used to sketch the proof of [L4, Theorem 7.7(i)] shows that

$$\dim L(\lambda)^B \leq 1$$

for all $\lambda \in P^+(\pi)$. Moreover the proof of [L3, Theorem 4.3] can be applied in this more general setting to show that if $L(\lambda)$ admits a spherical vector then $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$. However, the proof of the existence of a spherical vector associated to B in $\mathcal{B}_{\theta,1}$ relies on the fact that the $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\theta,1}$ act semisimply on finite-dimensional U modules. Unfortunately, we have not proved a version of Theorem 3.1 for all subalgebras B of U in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$. Nevertheless, we obtain a version of Theorem 3.2, which applies to all the algebras in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$, using the preceding lemma.

Theorem 3.4 *Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be an integral domain containing $\mathcal{C}$ and let B be an algebra in $\mathcal{B}_\theta(\mathcal{Z})$. Then*

$$\dim L(\lambda)^B_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover equality holds in (3.4) (and thus $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is spherical with respect to B) if and only if $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$.

Proof: Applying a Hopf algebra automorphism of $U_{\mathcal{Z}}$ to B if necessary, we reduce to the case when $B = B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ for some $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_n) \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{Z})$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{Z}$ is the $\mathcal{C}$ algebra generated by the s_i for $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$ and the d_j for $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{D}$. By the discussion preceding the theorem, we need only show that there exists a B invariant vector in $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ for all $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$.

Fix $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$. By Lemma 3.3, there exist polynomials f^k_μ in $\mathcal{C}[\zeta, \eta]$ such that

$$\tilde{\xi}_\lambda = v_\lambda + \sum_{\mu<\lambda,k} f^k_\mu v^k_\mu$$

is a $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}(\zeta),\mathbf{d}(\eta)}$ invariant vector. Let J be the ideal in $\mathcal{C}[\zeta, \eta]$ generated by $\zeta_i - s_i$ as α_i runs over $\mathcal{S}$ and $\eta_j - d_j$ as α_j runs over $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\bar{f}^k_\mu$ denote the image of f^k_μ in $\mathcal{Z}$ obtained by modding out by the ideal J. Set

$$\xi_\lambda = v_\lambda + \sum_{\mu<\lambda,k} \bar{f}^k_\mu v^k_\mu.$$

Note that ξ_λ is nonzero since the coefficient of v_λ is 1. Also, the image of $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}(\zeta),\mathbf{d}(\eta)} \cap (U_{\mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]})_+$ in $U_{\mathcal{Z}}$ obtained by modding out by J is just B_+. Furthermore, the action of $U_{\mathcal{Z}}$ on $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ induced by taking the action of $U_{\mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]}$ on $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]}$ and modding out by J is the same as the standard action of $U_{\mathcal{Z}}$ on $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Thus ξ_λ is a nonzero B_+ invariant vector in $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{Z}}$. □

Recall that $P(\Sigma)$ is the weight lattice associated to the root system Σ and hence contains the root lattice associated to Σ. Since $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in \Sigma$ it follows that $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in P(\Sigma)$ for each $\alpha_i \in \pi - \pi_\Theta$. Sometimes, a smaller scalar multiple of $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ is also in Σ. This happens when $\mathcal{S}$ is nonempty as explained in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5 *If* $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$ *then* $\tilde{\alpha}_i/2 \in P(\Sigma)$.

Proof: It is sufficient to prove the lemma when $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$ is an irreducible pair. Given $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$, we must check that

$$\frac{2(\tilde{\alpha}_i/2, \tilde{\alpha}_j)}{(\tilde{\alpha}_j, \tilde{\alpha}_j)} \in \mathbf{Z} \tag{3.5}$$

for all $\tilde{\alpha}_j \in \Sigma$. This is clearly true when $i = j$. Hence it is sufficient to check the case when $i \neq j$. By the classification in Section 7, there are only four possibilities with $\mathcal{S}$ nonempty: **AIII**, Case 2; **CI**; **DIII** Case 1; **EVII**. The tables in [A, Section 5] and [He, Chapter X, Section F] include the Dynkin diagram associated to the restricted root systems in the column labelled "Δ^-". In each of these four cases, the restricted root system is of type C and the root $\tilde{\alpha}_i$, for $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$, corresponds to the unique long root. Hence (3.5) follows. $\square$

Define an ordering on the restricted weights $\{\tilde{\lambda} | \lambda \in Q(\pi)\}$ by $\tilde{\beta}' \geq_r \tilde{\beta}$ if and only if $\tilde{\beta}' - \tilde{\beta} \in \sum_i \mathbf{N}\tilde{\alpha}_i$. Now suppose that λ and μ are two elements of $P(\pi)$ such that $\lambda \geq \mu$. Then there exist nonegative integers n_i such that $\lambda - \mu = \sum_i n_i \alpha_i$. It follows that $\tilde{\lambda} - \tilde{\mu} = \sum_i n_i \tilde{\alpha}_i$. Hence $\tilde{\lambda} \geq_r \tilde{\mu}$ with strict inequality if and only if n_i is nonzero for some $\alpha_i \in \pi - \pi_\Theta$.

The next result gives additional information about the spherical vectors which will be used in later sections.

Theorem 3.6 *Let* $\mathcal{Z}$ *be an integral domain containing* $\mathcal{C}$, *let* $B \in \mathcal{B}_\theta(\mathcal{Z})$ *and let* $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$. *Write* ξ_λ *for a nonzero* B *invariant vector of* $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{Z}}$. *Then* $\mathrm{Supp}(\xi_\lambda) \subset P(2\Sigma)$, $\lambda \in \mathrm{Supp}(\xi_\lambda)$, *and if* $\beta \in \mathrm{Supp}(\xi_\lambda) - \{\lambda\}$ *then* $\tilde{\beta} <_r \tilde{\lambda}$.

Proof: Without loss of generality, we may assume that $B = B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ for some $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{Z})$. By Theorem 3.4, rescaling if necessary, we can write

$$\xi_\lambda = v_\lambda + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} w_\mu$$

where each w_μ is a weight vector of weight μ in $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{Z}}$. In particular, $\lambda \in \mathrm{Supp}(\xi_\lambda)$.

Recall that $\{\tilde{\alpha}_i | \alpha_i \in \pi - \pi_\Theta\}$ is a set of simple roots for Σ. It follows that that $2\tilde{\alpha}_i \in P(2\Sigma)$ for all $\alpha_i \in \pi - \pi_\Theta$. By Lemma 3.5, $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in P(2\Sigma)$ for all i

such that $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$. Set

$$N = \sum_{\alpha_i \in \pi - \pi_\Theta - \mathcal{S}} \mathbf{N}(2\tilde{\alpha}_i) + \sum_{\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbf{N}\tilde{\alpha}_i \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\lambda - N = \{\lambda - \gamma | \gamma \in N\}.$$

Now $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$ and P^+_Θ is a subset of $P(2\Sigma)$. Hence $\lambda - N \subset P(2\Sigma)$. Furthermore, every element $\beta \in \lambda - N$ such that $\beta \neq \lambda$ satisfies $\beta <_r \lambda$. We complete the proof of the theorem by showing that

$$\mathrm{Supp}(\xi_\lambda) \subset \lambda - N.$$

Consider $\alpha_i \in \pi_\Theta$ and recall that $x_i\xi_\lambda = 0$. Note that if $\beta \neq \gamma$, then x_iw_β and x_iw_γ have the same weight if and only if they are both zero. Thus $x_iw_\beta = 0$ for each $\beta \in \mathrm{Supp}(\xi_\lambda)$ and $\alpha_i \in \pi_\Theta$.

Let I_1 be the subset of $\mathrm{Supp}(\xi_\lambda)$ consisting of those weights β such that $\beta \in \lambda - N$. Since $\lambda \in \mathrm{Supp}(\xi_\lambda)$ and $\lambda \in \lambda - N$, it follows that $\lambda \in I_1$. Let I_2 be the complement of I_1 in $\mathrm{Supp}(\xi_\lambda)$. We argue that I_2 is empty. Choose $\beta \in I_2$ such that β is a maximal element in I_2 with respect to the partial ordering $>_r$. Since $\lambda \neq \beta$, w_β is not a highest weight vector in $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Hence there exists i such that $x_iw_\beta \neq 0$. By the previous paragraph, we must have that $\alpha_i \in \pi - \pi_\Theta$. Note that x_iw_β is a weight vector of weight $\alpha_i + \beta$. Assume first that $\alpha_i \notin \mathcal{S}$. It follows from the definition of C_i (see (3.3)) that $C_i = x_i + Y_i$ where Y_i is a weight vector in U of weight $\Theta(\alpha_i)$. Now $C_i\xi_\lambda = 0$ since $C_i \in B_+$. Hence $x_iw_\beta = -Y_iw_\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{Supp}(\xi_\lambda)$. Moreover $\gamma = \alpha_i - \Theta(\alpha_i) + \beta = 2\tilde{\alpha}_i + \beta$. It follows that $\gamma - \beta = 2\tilde{\alpha}_i$ and thus $\gamma >_r \beta$. Moreover, $\beta \notin \lambda - N$ implies that $\gamma \notin \lambda - N$ which contradicts the choice of β.

Now assume $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence $\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i$. Note that B_+ contains the element $C_i = x_i + q_i^2y_it_i + q_i^2s_i(t_i - 1)$. Hence we can write $x_iw_\beta = -q_i^2y_it_iw_{\gamma_1} - q_i^2s_i(t_i - 1)w_{\gamma_2}$ where $\gamma_1 = \beta + 2\tilde{\alpha}_i$ and $\gamma_2 = \beta + \tilde{\alpha}_i$. Since x_iw_β is nonzero, at least one of γ_1, γ_2 is contained in $\mathrm{Supp}(\xi_\lambda)$. But neither γ_1 nor γ_2 can be in the subset I_1 of $\lambda - N$ since $\beta \notin \lambda - N$. Once again this contradicts the choice of β and the lemma follows. □

4 Quantum Zonal Spherical Functions

In this section, we review some basic facts about the quantized function algebra and define quantum zonal spherical functions associated to pairs B, B' of algebras in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$. We then prove an important injectivity result relating the space of biinvariants to the character group ring associated to Σ.

Let $R_q[G]$ denote the quantized function algebra as defined in [Jo, Chapter 9.1]. Recall that $R_q[G]$ is a right and left U module. Let $L(\lambda)^*$ denote the U module dual to $L(\lambda)$. Viewing $L(\lambda)$ as a left U module, $L(\lambda)^*$ is given its natural right U module structure.

According to the quantum Peter-Weyl theorem ([Jo, 9.1.1 and 1.4.13]), there is an isomorphism as right and left U modules:

$$R_q[G] \cong \oplus_{\lambda \in P^+(\pi)} L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)^*. \tag{4.1}$$

Given an element $w \otimes w^* \in L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)^*$, we write the corresponding element of $R_q[G]$ as $c^\lambda_{w^*,w}$. As a vector space, $R_q[G]$ is spanned by vectors $c^\lambda_{w^*,w}$ where $\lambda \in P^+(\pi)$, $w \in L(\lambda)$, and $w^* \in L(\lambda)^*$. Note that elements of $R_q[G]$ can be thought of as functions on the quantized enveloping algebra U. In particular,

$$c^\lambda_{w^*,w}(u) = w^*(uw)$$

for all $u \in U$.

Let B and B' be two subalgebras of U in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$. Define the subspace of ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ of left B' and right B invariants inside of $R_q[G]$ by

$$\begin{aligned}{}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B =& \{\varphi \in R_q[G] | b' \cdot \varphi = \epsilon(b')\varphi \text{ and } \varphi \cdot b = \epsilon(b)\varphi \\ & \text{for all } b \in B \text{ and } b' \in B'\}.\end{aligned}$$

By [KS, Chapter 11, Proposition 68], ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$, which we refer to as the space of biinvariants associated to the pair (B, B'), is a subalgebra of $R_q[G]$.

Set

$${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B(\lambda) = {}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B \cap (L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)^*)$$

where we identify $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)^*$ with a subspace of $R_q[G]$ using (4.1). By Theorem 3.4, ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B(\lambda)$ is 0 if $\lambda \notin P^+_\Theta$ and is a one-dimensional trivial left B' and right B module otherwise. Thus (4.1) implies the following direct sum decomposition into trivial one-dimensional left B' and right B modules:

$${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B \cong \oplus_{\lambda \in P^+_\Theta} {}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B(\lambda). \tag{4.2}$$

For each $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$, nonzero elements of ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B(\lambda)$ are called zonal spherical functions associated to the pair B, B'. Fix a nonzero vector $c^\lambda_{B,B'} \in {}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B(\lambda)$. It follows from (4.2) that $\{c^\lambda_{B,B'} | \lambda \in P^+_\Theta\}$ is a basis for ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$.

Note that $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)^*$ is the joint eigenspace for the action (either right or left) of the center of U on $R_q[G]$ with eigenvalue given by the central character of $L(\lambda)$. Thus the zonal spherical functions are just the eigenvectors of ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ for the action of the center of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, the eigenvalue of $c^\lambda_{B,B'}$ with respect to the central element a is given by the action of a on $L(\lambda)$.

Given $\lambda \in P(\pi)$, define the function z^λ on T by

$$z^\lambda \cdot \tau(\beta) = q^{(\lambda,\beta)}.$$

Write $\mathcal{C}[P]$ for the group algebra over $\mathcal{C}$ generated by the multiplicative group $\{z^\lambda | \lambda \in P(\pi)\}$. Let Υ be the algebra homomorphism from $R_q[G]$ to $\mathcal{C}[P]$ obtained by restricting elements of $R_q[G]$, considered as functions on U, to the subalgebra U^o generated by T.

Suppose that $\mathcal{Z}$ is an integral domain containing $\mathcal{C}$. Set $\mathcal{Z}[P] = \mathcal{C}[P] \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{Z}$. The map Υ extends to a homomorphism from $R_q[G] \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{Z}$ to $\mathcal{Z}[P]$ which we also denote by Υ. The definitions of ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ and $c^\lambda_{B,B'}$ also extend in the obvious way to pairs of algebras B, B' in $\mathcal{B}_\theta(\mathcal{Z})$.

Suppose that w and w^* are weight vectors in $L(\lambda), L(\lambda)^*$ respectively. Assume that the weight of w is β. For each $\tau(\mu)$ in T, we have

$$c^\lambda_{w^*,w}(\tau(\mu)) = w^*(\tau(\mu)w) = q^{(\beta,\mu)} w^*(w) = w^*(w) z^\beta \cdot \tau(\mu).$$

In particular, $\Upsilon(c^\lambda_{w^*,w})$ is a scalar multiple of z^β where β is the weight of w. Note that if the weight of w and w^* differ, then $w^*(w) = 0$ and hence $\Upsilon(c^\lambda_{w^*,w}) = 0$.

The next lemma transfers information about spherical vectors from the last section to the zonal spherical functions.

Lemma 4.1 *Let* $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{Z})$ *and* $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{Z})$ *where* $\mathcal{Z}$ *is an integral domain containing* $\mathcal{C}$*. Suppose that* $B = \chi(B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}})$ *and* $B' = \chi'(B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{d}})$ *where* χ *and* χ' *are Hopf algebra automorphisms of* $U_{\mathcal{Z}}$ *fixing* T *and* $\mathcal{M}$*. Then for each* $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$*,*

$$\Upsilon(c^\lambda_{B,B'}) = z^\lambda + \sum_{\beta <_r \lambda} f_\beta z^\beta$$

up to a nonzero scalar where each $f_\beta \in \mathcal{Z}$ *and* β *runs over weights of* $L(\lambda)$ *which are contained in* $P(2\Sigma) - \{\lambda\}$*.*

Proof: Let $\{v^i_\mu | \mu \leq \lambda\}$ be a basis consisting of weight vectors for $L(\lambda)$ as in Section 3. Let $\{v^{i*}_\mu | \mu \leq \lambda\}$ be a basis of weight vectors for $L(\lambda)^*$ such that $v^{i*}_\mu(v^j_\mu) = \delta_{ij}$.

By Theorem 3.4, $L(\lambda)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ contains a B' invarinat vector ξ and $L(\lambda)^*_{\mathcal{Z}}$ contains a B invariant vector ξ^*. Rescaling if necessary, we may write

$$\xi = v_\lambda + \sum_{\beta <_r \lambda} f^i_\beta v^i_\beta$$

and

$$\xi^* = v^*_\lambda + \sum_{\beta <_r \lambda} f^{i*}_\beta v^{i*}_\beta$$

where f^i_β and f^{i*}_β are elements of $\mathcal{Z}$ for each β and i. Furthermore, by Theorem 3.6, if either f^i_β or f^{i*}_β is nonzero for some i, then $\beta \in P(2\Sigma)$. Set $f_\beta = \sum_i f^i_\beta f^{i*}_\beta$. It follows that up to a nonzero scalar, $\Upsilon(c^\lambda_{B,B'})$ equals $z^\lambda + \sum_\beta f_\beta z^\beta$ where β runs over the weights of $L(\lambda)$ contained in $P(2\Sigma) - \{\lambda\}$. $\square$

Consider $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$ and $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$. For the remainder of the paper, we assume that $c^\lambda_{B,B'}$ has been chosen so that when $\Upsilon(c^\lambda_{B,B'})$ is written as a linear combination of the z^γ, the coefficient of z^λ is equal to 1. For each $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$, we set $\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'} = \Upsilon(c^\lambda_{B,B'})$.

Set $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$ equal to the group subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}[P]$ corresponding to the group $\{z^{2\lambda} | \lambda \in P(\Sigma)\}$. The next result allows us to identify the space spanned by the zonal spherical functions with a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$

Theorem 4.2 *The restriction map Υ from ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ to U^o defines an injection of ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ into $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$.*

Proof: Let $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$ and recall that $P^+_\Theta \subset P(2\Sigma)$. By Lemma 4.1, there exists $a_\beta \in \mathcal{C}$ such that

$$\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'} = z^\lambda + \sum_{\beta <_r \lambda} a_\beta z^\beta$$

where the β run over a finite number of elements in $P(2\Sigma)$. Thus each $\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'} \in \mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$. It follows that $\Upsilon({}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$.

Recall that the set $\{c^{\lambda}_{B,B'} | \lambda \in P^+_{\Theta}\}$ is a basis for ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$. Consider a typical element $X = \sum_i b_i c^{\lambda_i}_{B,B'}$ in ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ and note that $\Upsilon(X) = \sum_i b_i \varphi^{\lambda_i}_{B,B'}$. Choose a maximal weight λ_1 in the set $\{\lambda_i | b_i \neq 0\}$. Then $\Upsilon(X)$ is in

$$b_1 z^{\lambda_1} + \sum_{\mu \not\geq_r \lambda_1} \mathcal{C} z^{\mu}$$

and so is nonzero. Hence Υ is injective. $\square$

5 A Criterion for Invariance

Let W be the Weyl group associated to the restricted root system Σ. Note that W acts on $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$ via $w \cdot z^{\beta} = z^{w\beta}$ for all $\beta \in P(2\Sigma)$ and $w \in W$. A classical result shows that the zonal spherical functions correspond to the W invariant functions of the character ring of the restricted root system. In this section, we obtain a similar result in the quantum case. In particular, given $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\theta}$ we determine how to choose B' so that the image of ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ under Υ is the entire invariant ring $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$.

Let ρ denote the half sum of the positive roots in Δ, so $(\rho, \alpha_i) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Note that if $\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_{p(i)}$ then $\tilde{\theta}(y_i) = t^{-1}_{p(i)} x_{p(i)}$ and $\tilde{\theta}(y_{p(i)}) = t_i^{-1} x_i$ Hence

$$\tilde{\theta}(y_{p(i)}) t^{-1}_{p(i)} x_{p(i)} = t_i^{-1} x_i \tilde{\theta}(y_i)$$

in this case. We show that a similar result is true in general.

Recall that $\mathcal{M}^+$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}$ generated by the x_i, $\alpha_i \in \pi_{\Theta}$.

Lemma 5.1 *For each* $\alpha_i \notin \pi_{\Theta}$,

$$q^{(\rho, \Theta(\alpha_i) + \alpha_i)} \tilde{\theta}(y_{p(i)}) t^{-1}_{p(i)} x_{p(i)} \in t_i^{-1} x_i \tilde{\theta}(y_i) + \mathcal{M}^+_+ U + U \mathcal{M}^+_+.$$

Proof: Recall the sequences used in Section 2 (see (2.1) and (2.2)) to define $\tilde{\theta}(y_i)$ for $\alpha_i \notin \pi_{\Theta}$. Using the form of $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_i)$ given in (1.2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} t_i^{-1} x_i \tilde{\theta}(y_i) &= t_i^{-1} x_i [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i_1})^{(m_1)} \cdots (\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i_r})^{(m_r)} t^{-1}_{p(i)} x_{p(i)}] \\ &\in\ (-1)^{(m_1 + \cdots + m_r)} t_i^{-1} x_i [(t_{i_1}^{-1} x_{i_1})^{(m_1)} \cdots (t_{i_r}^{-1} x_{i_r})^{(m_r)} t^{-1}_{p(i)} x_{p(i)}] + U \mathcal{M}^+_+. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

A straightforward computation shows that for each positive integer k and each j,

$$(t_j^{-1}x_j)^k = q^{-k(k+1)(\alpha_j,\alpha_j)/2}x_j^k t_j^{-k}. \tag{5.2}$$

Note that $x_{i_{s+1}}^{m_{s+1}}\cdots x_{i_r}^{m_r}x_{p(i)}$ and X_{s+1} (defined in (2.3)) have the same weight. Recall that X_s is a highest weight vector for the action of $\operatorname{ad}_r x_{i_s}$ and that X_{s+1} is a lowest weight vector for the action of $\operatorname{ad}_r y_{i_s}$. Set λ_s equal to the weight of X_s. It follows that $(\lambda_s,\alpha_{i_s}) = \frac{m_s}{2}(\alpha_{i_s},\alpha_{i_s})$ and $(\lambda_{s+1},\alpha_{i_s}) = -\frac{m_s}{2}(\alpha_{i_s},\alpha_{i_s})$ for each s. Hence (5.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned}(t_{i_s}^{-1}x_{i_s})^{m_s}&x_{i_{s+1}}^{m_{s+1}}\cdots x_{i_r}^{m_r}x_{p(i)}\\ &=q^{-m_s(m_s+1)(\alpha_{i_s},\alpha_{i_s})/2}x_{i_s}^{m_s}t_{i_s}^{-m_s}x_{i_{s+1}}^{m_{s+1}}\cdots x_{i_r}^{m_r}x_{p(i)}\\ &=q^{-m_s(\rho,\alpha_{i_s})}x_{i_s}^{m_s}\cdots x_{i_r}^{m_r}x_{p(i)}t_{i_s}^{-m_s}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Note that

$$-(\sum_{j=1}^{r} m_j\alpha_{i_j}) = \Theta(\alpha_i) - \alpha_{p(i)}.$$

Hence repeated applications of (5.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}(t_{i_1}^{-1}x_{i_1})^{(m_1)}&\cdots((t_{i_r}^{-1}x_{i_r})^{(m_r)}t_{p(i)}^{-1}x_{p(i)}\\ &= q^{(\rho,\Theta(\alpha_i)-\alpha_{p(i)})}x_{i_1}^{(m_1)}\cdots x_{i_r}^{(m_r)}x_{p(i)}t_{i_1}^{-m_1}\cdots t_{i_r}^{-m_r}t_{p(i)}^{-1}\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}(\Theta(\alpha_i)+\alpha_{p(i)},\Theta(\alpha_i)-\alpha_i) &= (\Theta(\alpha_i),\Theta(\alpha_i)-\alpha_i+\alpha_{p(i)}) - (\alpha_{p(i)},\alpha_i)\\ &= (\Theta(\alpha_i),\Theta(\alpha_{p(i)})) - (\alpha_{p(i)},\alpha_i) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$(\rho,\Theta(\alpha_i)-\alpha_{p(i)}) + (\alpha_i,\alpha_i) = (\rho,\Theta(\alpha_i)+\alpha_i).$$

Set $m = m_1+\ldots+m_r$. Expressions (5.1) and (5.4) imply that $t_i^{-1}x_i\tilde{\theta}(y_i)$ is an element of

$$(-1)^m q^{(\rho,\Theta(\alpha_i)+\alpha_i)}t_i^{-1}t_{i_r}^{-m_r}\cdots t_{i_1}^{-m_1}x_i x_{i_r}^{(m_r)}\cdots x_{i_1}^{(m_1)}t_{p(i)}^{-1}x_{p(i)} + U\mathcal{M}_+^+.$$

Using (1.2) we obtain $t_i^{-1}t_{i_r}^{-m_r}\cdots t_{i_1}^{-m_1}x_ix_{i_r}^{(m_r)}\cdots x_{i_1}^{(m_1)}t_{p(i)}^{-1}x_{p(i)}$ is an element of

$$[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i_r}^{(m_r)})\cdots(\mathrm{ad}\ {}_rx_{i_1}^{(m_1)})t_i^{-1}x_i]t_{p(i)}^{-1}x_{p(i)}+\mathcal{M}_+^+U$$

Thus

$$t_i^{-1}x_i\tilde{\theta}(y_i)\in q^{(\rho,\Theta(\alpha_i)+\alpha_i)}\tilde{\theta}(y_{p(i)})t_{p(i)}^{-1}x_{p(i)}+\mathcal{M}_+^+U+U\mathcal{M}_+^+.$$

□

The next result provides a criterion for determining when ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ is W invariant. In order to prove the result, we need to extend U to a larger algebra. First, enlarge T to the group $\check{T}$ generated by $t_i^{1/m}$ for each $1\leq i\leq n$ and each positive integer m. We can extend the isomorphism τ to an isomorphism between $\sum_i\mathbf{Q}\alpha_i$ and $\check{T}$. Let $\check{U}$ be the $\mathcal{C}$ algebra generated by U and $\check{T}$ such that

$$\tau(\lambda)v_\mu=q^{(\mu,\lambda)}v_\mu\tau(\lambda)$$

for each $\tau(\lambda)\in\check{T}$ and weight vector $v_\mu\in U$ of weight μ.

Note that each finite-dimensional simple U-module $L(\lambda)$ easily becomes a $\check{U}$-module as follows. Recall that $L(\lambda)$ can be written as a direct sum of weight spaces. Let v be a weight vector in $L(\lambda)$ of weight β. Set

$$\tau(\mu)\cdot v=q^{(\beta,\mu)}v$$

for all $\tau(\mu)\in\check{T}$. We can similarly extend the action of U on $L(\lambda)^*$ to $\check{U}$. It follows that elements of $R_q[G]$ extend to functions on $\check{U}$. Given an algebra B in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$, let $\check{B}$ be the $\mathcal{C}$ subalgebra of $\check{U}$ generated by B and the group $\check{T}_\Theta=\{\tau(\lambda)\in\check{T}|\Theta(\lambda)=\lambda\}$. Note that ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ is invariant as a left $\check{B}'$ and right $\check{B}$ module.

Note that the subalgebra $\check{U}^o$ of $\check{U}$ generated by elements of $\check{T}$ is a $\mathcal{C}[P]$ module with the following action:

$$\sum c_\gamma z^\gamma\cdot\tau(\lambda)=\sum c_\gamma q^{(\gamma,\lambda)}.$$

Lemma 5.2 *Fix j such that $\alpha_j\notin\pi_\Theta$ and let $\tilde{s}_j$ denote the reflection in W corresponding to $\tilde{\alpha}_j$. Assume that for all $\lambda\in\sum_i\mathbf{Q}\tilde{\alpha}_i$ such that $(\lambda,\tilde{\alpha}_j)=0$ that*

$$\tau(\lambda)(\tau(k\tilde{\alpha}_j)-\tau(-k\tilde{\alpha}_j))\in\check{B}_+\check{U}+\check{U}\check{B}'_+\tag{5.5}$$

for some nonzero rational number k. Then $\Upsilon({}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B)$ is $\tilde{s}_i$ invariant.

Proof: Recall that $Q(\Sigma)$ is the root lattice associated to Σ. Suppose that $\beta \in Q(\Sigma)$ and $(\beta, \tilde{\alpha}_j) \neq 0$. Set $r = (\beta, \tilde{\alpha}_j)/(\tilde{\alpha}_j, \tilde{\alpha}_j)$ and $\lambda = k\beta/r - k\tilde{\alpha}_j$. Then $\beta = (r/k)(\lambda + k\tilde{\alpha}_j)$, $\lambda \in \sum_i \mathbf{Q}\tilde{\alpha}_i$, and $(\lambda, \tilde{\alpha}_j) = 0$. Hence $\tilde{s}_j(\beta) = (r/k)(\lambda - k\tilde{\alpha}_j)$.

By (5.5), $\tau(k\beta/r) - \tau((k\tilde{s}_j\beta)/r) \in \check{B}_+\check{U} + \check{U}\check{B}'_+$. Let X be an element of ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ and set $\Upsilon(X) = \sum_\gamma b_\gamma z^\gamma$. Note that $X(a) = \Upsilon(X) \cdot (a)$ for all $a \in \check{T}$ and $X(b) = 0$ for all $b \in \check{B}_+\check{U} + \check{U}\check{B}'_+$. It follows that

$$\sum_\gamma b_\gamma z^\gamma \cdot (\tau(k\beta/r) - \tau((k\tilde{s}_j\beta)/r)) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\sum_\gamma b_\gamma z^{(\gamma, k\beta/r)} = \sum_\gamma b_\gamma z^{(\gamma, (k\tilde{s}_j\beta)/r)}.$$

This forces

$$\sum_{\{\gamma | (\gamma, k\beta/r) = s\}} b_\gamma = \sum_{\{\gamma | (\gamma, k\tilde{s}_j\beta)/r) = s\}} b_\gamma \tag{5.6}$$

for each integer s. We can rewrite (5.6) as

$$\sum_{\{\gamma | (\gamma, \beta) = m\}} b_\gamma = \sum_{\{\gamma | (\gamma, \tilde{s}_j\beta)) = m\}} b_\gamma$$

for each integer m. Thus we may conclude that

$$\sum_\gamma b_\gamma z^{(\gamma, \beta)} = \sum_\gamma b_\gamma z^{(\gamma, \tilde{s}_j\beta)} = \sum_\gamma b_\gamma z^{(\tilde{s}_j\gamma, \beta)} \tag{5.7}$$

for all β such that $\beta \in Q(\Sigma)$ and $(\beta, \tilde{\alpha}_j) \neq 0$. Now suppose that $\beta \in Q(\Sigma)$ and $(\beta, \tilde{\alpha}_j) = 0$. Then $\tilde{s}_j\beta = \beta$ and so (5.7) also holds in this case. Since $\sum_\gamma b_\gamma z^\gamma$ is in $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$, it follows that $\sum_\gamma b_\gamma z^\gamma$ is $\tilde{s}_j$ invariant. $\square$

Given B in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$, we show how to choose another algebra B' in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ such that ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ is W invariant. For each $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$, define the Hopf algebra automorphism $\chi_\mathbf{c}$ in $\mathbf{H}$ of U as follows. For all i such that $\alpha_i \in \pi - \pi_\Theta$, set

$$\chi_\mathbf{c}(x_i) = q^{-1/2(\rho, \theta(\alpha_i) - \alpha_i)} c_i^{-1} x_i$$

and

$$\chi_\mathbf{c}(y_i) = q^{1/2(\rho, \theta(\alpha_i) - \alpha_i)} c_i y_i.$$

Given $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ in $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ and integers m, r, set $\mathbf{a}^m\mathbf{b}^r$ equal to the n-tuple in $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ with entries $a_i^m b_i^r$.

Theorem 5.3 *Let $B = B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ be in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$. If $B' = \chi_{\mathbf{c}}(B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{c}^2\mathbf{d}})$ for some $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{s}' \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{C})$, then $\Upsilon({}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B)$ is W invariant.*

Proof: Recall the polynomial ring $\mathcal{C}[\zeta,\eta]$, its algebraic closure $\mathcal{K}$, and the elements $\mathbf{s}(\zeta) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathbf{d}(\eta) \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{K})$ defined in Section 3. Given $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$, note that the $\mathcal{C}$ Hopf algebra automorphism $\chi_{\mathbf{c}}$ of U extends to a $\mathcal{K}$ Hopf algebra automorphism of $U_{\mathcal{K}}$ which we also refer to as $\chi_{\mathbf{c}}$. Choose $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{s}' \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{C})$. Set $B = B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}(\zeta),\mathbf{d}(\eta)}$ and $B' = \chi_{\mathbf{c}}(B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{c}^2\mathbf{d}(\eta)})$. By Lemma 4.1 and the proof of Theorem 3.4, it is enough to show that $\Upsilon({}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B)$ is W invariant.

Recall the definition of π^* from Section 2. Fix j such that $\alpha_j \in \pi^*$. Let λ be an element in $\sum_i \mathbf{Q}\tilde{\alpha}_i$ such that $(\lambda, \alpha_j) = 0$. Since $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda$, it follows that $\Theta(\lambda) = -\lambda$ and thus $(\lambda, \Theta(\alpha_j)) = 0$. Thus $\tau(\lambda)$ commutes with both y_j and $\tilde{\theta}(y_j)$.

Assume first that $\mathcal{S}$ is empty. Note that

$$B_k = y_k t_k + d(\eta_k)\tilde{\theta}(y_k)t_k$$

is in B for $k = j$ and $k = p(j)$. Recall (see proof of Theorem 3.1) that $\Theta(\alpha_j) - \alpha_j = \Theta(\alpha_{p(j)}) - \alpha_{p(j)}$. Since $j \le p(j)$, both $c_{p(j)}$ and $d(\eta_{p(j)})$ are equal to 1. Hence it follows from the definition of $\chi_{\mathbf{c}}$ and (2.1) that $\chi_{\mathbf{c}}(\tilde{\theta}(y_j)) = q^{-1/2(\rho(\Theta(\alpha_j)-\alpha_j)}\tilde{\theta}(y_j)$. Moreover,

$$B'_j = (c_j y_j t_j + q^{-(\rho,\Theta(\alpha_j)-\alpha_j)} c_j^2 d(\eta_j)\tilde{\theta}(y_j)t_j)$$

and

$$B'_{p(j)} = (y_{p(j)}t_{p(j)} + q^{-(\rho,\Theta(\alpha_j)-\alpha_j)} c_j^{-1}\tilde{\theta}(y_{p(j)})t_{p(j)})$$

are elements of B'.

By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &B_k t_k^{-1} x_k = (y_k t_k + d(\eta_k)\tilde{\theta}(y_k)t_k)t_k^{-1}x_k \\ (5.8)\qquad &\in \frac{-(t_k - t_k^{-1})}{(q_k - q_k^{-1})} + t_k^{-1}x_k y_k t_k + q^{-(\rho,\Theta(\alpha_k)-\alpha_k)} d(\eta_k) t_{p(k)}^{-1} x_{p(k)} \tilde{\theta}(y_{p(k)}) t_k \\ &+ \mathcal{M}_+^+ U + U\mathcal{M}_+^+ \end{aligned}$$

for $k = j$ and $k = p(j)$.

Consider first the case when $j = p(j)$. In particular, $\alpha_j \notin \mathcal{D}$ and thus $c_j = d(\eta_j) = 1$. It follows that

$$B'_j = y_j t_j + q^{-(\rho,\Theta(\alpha_j)-\alpha_j)}\tilde{\theta}(y_j)t_j.$$

Furthermore, by (5.8),

$$B_j t_j^{-1} x_j \in \frac{-(t_j - t_j^{-1})}{(q_j - q_j^{-1})} + t_j^{-1} x_j B'_j + \mathcal{M}^+_+ U + U\mathcal{M}^+_+.$$

Since $\tau(\lambda)$ commutes with y_j and $\theta(y_j)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&(B_j t_j^{-1} x_j)\tau(\lambda) - \tau(\lambda)(t_j^{-1} x_j B'_j)\\ &\in (\frac{-2(t_j - t_j^{-1})}{(q_j - q_j^{-1})})\tau(\lambda) + \mathcal{M}^+_+ U + U\mathcal{M}^+_+.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $(t_j^{-1/2}\tau(\Theta(\alpha_j))^{-1/2} - 1) \in \check{B}_+$ since $t_j^{-1/2}\tau(\Theta(\alpha_j))^{-1/2} \in \check{T}_\Theta$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau(\lambda)(t_j^{1/2}\tau(\Theta(\alpha_j))^{-1/2} - t_j^{-1/2}\tau(\Theta(\alpha_j))^{1/2})\\ &= \tau(\lambda)((t_j - t_j^{-1}) + (t_j^{-1/2}\tau(\Theta(\alpha_j))^{-1/2} - 1)(t_j + t_j^{-1/2}\tau(\Theta(\alpha_j)^{1/2}))\\ &\in \check{B}_+\check{U} + \check{U}\check{B}'_+.\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Thus by Lemma 5.2, ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ is $\tilde{s}_j$ invariant.

Now consider the case when $j < p(j)$. Note that $t_k t_{p(k)}^{-1} - 1$ and $t_k^{-1} t_{p(k)} - 1$ are both elements of $(\mathcal{M}T_\Theta)_+$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$. Hence $(t_{p(j)} - t_{p(j)}^{-1})t_{p(j)}^{-1} t_j = (t_j - t_j^{-1}) + (\mathcal{M}T_\Theta)_+$. Set $a = c_j^{-1} d(\eta_j)^{-1}$ and note that $a+1$ is nonzero since $d(\eta_j)$ is an indeterminate. Using (5.8), a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}&aB_j t_j^{-1} x_j + B_{p(j)} t_{p(j)}^{-1} x_{p(j)} t_{p(j)}^{-1} t_j + (a+1)\frac{(t_j - t_j^{-1})}{(q_j - q_j^{-1})}\\ &\in c_j^{-1} a t_j^{-1} x_j B'_j + t_{p(j)}^{-1} x_{p(j)} B'_{p(j)} t_{p(j)}^{-1} t_j + (\mathcal{M}T_\Theta)^+_+ U + U(\mathcal{M}T_\Theta)^+_+.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, (5.9) holds in this case as well and the image of ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ is $s_{\tilde{\alpha}_j}$ invariant when j is strictly less than $p(j)$.

Now assume that $\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$. Then B_+ contains

$$C_i = y_i t_i + q_i^{-2} x_i + s(\zeta_i)(t_i - 1)$$

and B'_+ contains

$$C'_i = y_i t_i + x_i + s'_i(t_i - 1).$$

Furthermore

$$(s(\zeta_i) - q_i^{-1}s'_i)(t_i^{1/2} - t_i^{-1/2})\tau(\lambda) = C_i t_i^{-1/2}\tau(\lambda) - q_i^{-1}\tau(\lambda)t_i^{-1/2}C'_i.$$

Note that $(s(\zeta_i) - q_i^{-1}s'_i)$ is nonzero since $s(\zeta_i)$ is an indeterminate while $s'_i \in \mathcal{C}$. It follows that $\tau(\lambda)(t_i^{1/2} - t_i^{-1/2}) \in \check{B}_+\check{U} + \check{U}\check{B}'_+$. Another application of Lemma 5.2 yields that the image of ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ under Υ is $\tilde{s}_j$ invariant in this case.

We have shown that the image of ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ under Υ is $\tilde{s}_j$ invariant for all reflections $\tilde{s}_j$ in the set $\{\tilde{s}_j | \alpha_j \in \pi^*\}$. Note that the set $\{\tilde{\alpha}_j | \alpha_j \in \pi^*\}$ is equal to the set of simple roots of Σ. The theorem now follows from the fact W is generated by the reflections in $\{\tilde{s}_j | \alpha_j \in \pi^*\}$. $\square$

For each $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$, set

$$m_\lambda = \sum_{w \in W} z^{2w\lambda}.$$

It is well known that the set

$$\{m_\lambda | \lambda \in P^+_\Theta\}$$

forms a basis for $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$. Now consider a subset $\{\varphi_\lambda | \lambda \in P^+_\Theta\}$ of $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$ which satisfies the following conditions. For each $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$

(5.10) $\varphi_\lambda = m_\lambda + \sum_{\mu <_r \lambda} a_{\lambda,\mu} m_\mu$ for some $a_{\lambda,\mu} \in \mathcal{C}$.

(5.11) $\varphi_\lambda \in \mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$

Then the set $\{\varphi_\lambda | \lambda \in P^+_\Theta\}$ forms a basis for $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$.

Suppose that $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$ are chosen as in Theorem 5.3. The next result shows that the image of the zonal spherical functions associated to the pair B, B' in $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$ satisfies (5.10) and (5.11).

Corollary 5.4 *Let $B = B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ be in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ and suppose that $B' = \chi_{\mathbf{c}}(B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{c}^2\mathbf{d}})$) for some $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{s}' \in \mathbf{D}$. Then the set $\{\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'} | \lambda \in P^+_\Theta\}$ satisfies condition (5.10) and (5.11). Moreover, Υ defines an isomorphism of ${}_{B'}\mathcal{H}_B$ onto $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$.*

Proof: By Theorem 4.2, $\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'}$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$ for each $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$. On the other hand, Theorem 5.3 implies that each $\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'}$ is W invariant and hence is an element of $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$. It follows that the set $\{\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'}|\lambda \in P^+_\Theta\}$ satisfies condition (5.11).

Fix $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$. Since $\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'}$ is in $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$, we can write $\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'}$ as a linear combination of the $m_\gamma, \gamma \in P^+_\Theta$:

$$\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'} = \sum_{\gamma \in P^+_\Theta} r_\gamma m_\gamma.$$

By Lemma 4.1, we can also write

$$\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'} = z^\lambda + \sum_{\beta <_r \lambda} c_\beta z^\beta \tag{5.12}$$

for some choice of scalars c_β where β runs over weights of $L(\lambda)$ contained in $P(2\Sigma) - \{\lambda\}$. Hence, if $r_\gamma \neq 0$, then γ is a weight of $L(\lambda)$ contained in P^+_Θ. Thus $r_\gamma \neq 0$ implies that $\gamma = \lambda$ or $\gamma <_r \lambda$. Since z^λ appears with coefficient 1 in (5.12), it follows that $r_\lambda = 1$. Therefore, $\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'}$ satisfies condition (5.10). The last assertion now follows from the discussion preceding the corollary and Theorem 4.2. □

6 Zonal Spherical Families

We say that a function $\lambda \rightarrow \varphi_\lambda$ from P^+_Θ to $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$ is a zonal spherical family associated to $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ if there exists B and B' in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ such that $\varphi_\lambda = \varphi^\lambda_{B,B'}$ for all $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$. For may practical purposes, we may think of a zonal spherical family as a set $\{\varphi_\lambda|\lambda \in P^+_\Theta\}$ indexed by P^+_Θ. Let $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ denote the set of zonal spherical families associated to $\mathcal{B}_\theta$. The group $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ acts on $\mathcal{B}_\theta \times \mathcal{B}_\theta$ in the obvious way:

$$(\chi_1, \chi_2) \cdot (B, B') = (\chi_1(B), \chi_2(B')).$$

In this section, we study the relationship between orbits of $\mathcal{B}_\theta \times \mathcal{B}_\theta$ under the action of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ and orbits of $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ under a subgroup of $\mathrm{Hom}(Q(\pi), \mathcal{C}^\times)$. This allows us to attach a unique W invariant zonal spherical family (up to possible sign) to each orbit of $\mathcal{B}_\theta \times \mathcal{B}_\theta$.

Recall the definition of the lattice N generated by 2Σ and the set $\{\tilde{\alpha}_i|\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}\}$ given in (3.6). Consider a Hopf algebra automorphism χ of U in $\mathbf{H}$. Note that χ induces a group homomorphism $\bar{\chi}$ from $Q(\pi)$ to the nonzero elements of $\mathcal{C}$ such that

$$\chi(u) = \bar{\chi}(\mathrm{wt}\ u)u$$

for each weight vector $u \in U$. Since N is a sublattice of $Q(\pi)$, $\bar{\chi}$ restricts to an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^\times)$.

Lemma 6.1 *The map* $\Psi : \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H} \to \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^\times)$ *defined by* $(\chi_1, \chi_2) \to \bar{\chi}_1^{-1}\bar{\chi}_2$ *is a surjective homomorphism.*

Proof: Note first that $\mathbf{H}$ is an abelian group. Hence the map $(\chi_1, \chi_2) \to \chi_1^{-1}\chi_2$ defines a group homomorphism of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ onto $\mathbf{H}$. Thus it is sufficient to show that the map $\chi \to \bar{\chi}$ defines a surjective homomorphism from $\mathbf{H}$ onto $\mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^\times)$.

Note that N is just the free abelian group with generating set $(\pi - \pi_\Theta - \mathcal{S}) \cup \{\tilde{\alpha}_i/2 | \alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}\}$. Set $\frac{1}{2}N = \{\frac{1}{2}\beta | \beta \in N\}$. Let ψ be an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^\times)$. Taking squareroots allows us to extend ψ to a an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(\frac{1}{2}N, \mathcal{C}^\times)$. Set $Q(\frac{1}{2}\pi)^\Theta$ equal to the set $\{\frac{1}{2}\beta | \beta \in Q(\pi) \text{ and } \Theta(\beta) = \beta\}$. We may further extend ψ to an element $\hat{\psi}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}(\frac{1}{2}N + Q(\frac{1}{2}\pi)^\Theta, \mathcal{C}^\times)$ by insisting that $\hat{\psi}(\gamma) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in Q(\frac{1}{2}\pi)^\Theta$. Since $Q(\pi) \subset \frac{1}{2}N + Q(\frac{1}{2}\pi)^\Theta$, it follows that $\hat{\psi}$ restricts to an element of $\mathrm{Hom}(Q(\pi), \mathcal{C}^\times)$ which is zero on $Q(\pi)^\Theta$. In particular, $\hat{\psi} = \bar{\chi}$ where $\chi \in \mathbf{H}$ is chosen so that $\chi(u) = \hat{\psi}(\mathrm{wt} u)u$ for all weight vectors $u \in U$. $\square$

Note that the action of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ on $\mathcal{B}_\theta \times \mathcal{B}_\theta$ induces an action of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ on $\mathcal{F}_\theta$. In particular, given B and B' in $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ and $(\chi_1, \chi_2) \in \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$, set

$$(\chi_1, \chi_2) \cdot \varphi^\lambda_{B,B'} = \varphi^\lambda_{\chi_1(B),\chi_2(B')}$$

for all $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$

The group $\mathrm{Hom}(P(\Sigma), \mathcal{C}^\times)$ acts on $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]$ via $g \cdot z^\beta = g(\beta)z^\beta$ for all $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(P(\Sigma), \mathcal{C}^\times)$ and $\beta \in P(2\Sigma)$. This restricts to an action of the group $\mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^\times)$ on the group ring $\mathcal{C}[N]$ generated by $z^\beta, \beta \in N$.

Let $\{\varphi_\lambda | \lambda \in P^+_\Theta\}$ be a zonal spherical family in $\mathcal{F}_\theta$. By Theorem 3.6 and its proof, φ_λ is the unique element of the vector space $\mathcal{C}\varphi_\lambda$ such that

$$\varphi_\lambda \in z^\lambda + \sum_{\beta <_r \lambda} \mathcal{C}z^\beta \text{ and } \varphi_\lambda \in z^\lambda \mathcal{C}[N] \tag{6.1}$$

for each $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$.

Lemma 6.2 *For all* $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}_\theta$*,* $(\chi_1, \chi_2) \in \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$*, and* $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$

$$\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'} = z^\lambda \bar{\chi}_1^{-1} \bar{\chi}_2 \cdot (z^{-\lambda} \varphi^\lambda_{B,B'}).$$

Proof: Let $(\chi_1, \chi_2) \in \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$. Note that there exists a positive integer m such that $P(\pi)$ is a subset of $\sum_i \mathbf{Z}(\alpha_i/m)$. Hence for each i, the map $\bar{\chi}_i$ can be extended to a (not necessarily unique) homomorphism, which we also call $\bar{\chi}_i$, from $\sum_j \mathbf{Z}(\alpha_j/m)$ to $\mathcal{C}^\times$.

Consider $\lambda \in P^+(\pi)$ and fix $i \in \{1, 2\}$. The map $\bar{\chi}_i$ induces linear transformations on $L(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda)^*$, both denoted by $\hat{\chi}_i$, such that $\hat{\chi}_i(v) = \hat{\chi}_i(\mathrm{wt}\ v)v$ for each weight vector v in $L(\lambda)$ or $L(\lambda)^*$. Suppose that $v \in L(\lambda)$ and $v' \in L(\lambda)^*$ are weight vectors. Note that

$$\chi_i(u)\hat{\chi}_i(v) = \hat{\chi}_i(uv) \text{ and } \hat{\chi}_i^{-1}(v')\chi_i(u) = \hat{\chi}_i^{-1}(v'u) \tag{6.2}$$

for all $u \in U$. It follows that

$$c^\lambda_{\hat{\chi}_i^{-1}(v'),v} = \bar{\chi}_i(\mathrm{wt}\ v')(c^\lambda_{v',v}) \quad \text{and} \quad c^\lambda_{v',\hat{\chi}_i(v)} = \bar{\chi}_i(\mathrm{wt}\ v)(c^\lambda_{v',v}).$$

Thus

$$\Upsilon(c^\lambda_{\hat{\chi}_1^{-1}(v'),\hat{\chi}_2(v)}) = \bar{\chi}_1^{-1}(\mathrm{wt} v')\bar{\chi}_2(\mathrm{wt}\ v)\Upsilon(c^\lambda_{v',v}) = (\bar{\chi}_1^{-1}\bar{\chi}_2) \cdot (\Upsilon(c^\lambda_{v',v})). \tag{6.3}$$

Let ξ denote a B' invariant vector of $L(\lambda)$ and let ξ^* denote a B invariant vector of $L(\lambda)^*$. Assertion (6.2) ensures that $\hat{\chi}_2(\xi)$ is a nonzero $\chi_2(B')$ invariant element of $L(\lambda)$ and $\hat{\chi}_1^{-1}(\xi^*)$ is a $\chi_1(B)$ invariant vector of $L(\lambda)^*$. Thus $c^\lambda_{\chi_1(B),\chi_2(B')} = c^\lambda_{\hat{\chi}_1^{-1}(\xi^*),\hat{\chi}_2(\xi)}$ up to a nonzero scalar. It follows from assertion (6.3) that $\varphi^\lambda_{\chi_1(B),\chi_2(B')} = (\bar{\chi}_1^{-1}\bar{\chi}_2) \cdot (\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'})$ up to a nonzero scalar. Now $\bar{\chi}_1^{-1}\bar{\chi}_2(\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'})$ is just a nonzero scalar multiple of $z^\lambda(\bar{\chi}_1^{-1}\bar{\chi}_2) \cdot (z^{-\lambda}\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'})$. The lemma follows from the fact that $z^\lambda(\bar{\chi}_1^{-1}\bar{\chi}_2) \cdot (z^{-\lambda}\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'})$ satisfies the conditions of (6.1). □

It follows from Lemma 6.2, that the kernel of the action of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ on $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ is contained in $\mathrm{Ker}(\Psi)$ where Ψ is the homomorphism of Lemma 6.1. Thus the action of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ on $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ induces an action of $\mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^\times)$ on $\mathcal{F}_\theta$. Furthermore, this action is given by

$$g \cdot \varphi_\lambda = z^\lambda g(z^{-\lambda}\varphi_\lambda)$$

for all $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$, $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^x)$, and $\{\varphi_\lambda | \lambda \in P^+_\Theta\} \in \mathcal{F}_\theta$. The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2.

Theorem 6.3 *The map*

$$(B, B') \to \{\varphi^{\lambda}_{B,B'} | \lambda \in P^{+}_{\Theta}\}$$

from $\mathcal{B}_\theta \times \mathcal{B}_\theta$ to $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ is a $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ equivariant map which induces a surjection from the set of orbits of $\mathcal{B}_\theta \times \mathcal{B}_\theta$ under the action of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ onto the set of orbits of zonal spherical families under the action of $\mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^{\times})$.

A family $\{\varphi_\lambda | \lambda \in P^{+}_{\Theta}\} \in \mathcal{F}_\theta$ is called W invariant provided each $\varphi_\lambda \in \mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$. We next determine which elements in $\mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^{\times})$ preserve W invariance. Recall that $Q(2\Sigma)$ is the root lattice associated to 2Σ.

Lemma 6.4 *Let $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^{\times})$ and let $\{\varphi_\lambda | \lambda \in P^{+}_{\Theta}\}$ be a W invariant zonal spherical family. Then $g \cdot \varphi_\lambda$ is W invariant for all $\lambda \in P^{+}_{\Theta}$ if and only if g acts trivially on $Q(2\Sigma)$.*

Proof: Suppose that Σ is of type BC_r. Then the weight lattice of Σ is equal to the weight lattice of the subroot system Σ_1 in Σ of type B_r. In particular, if the lemma holds when Σ is of type B_r, then it follows for Σ of type BC_r. Hence we can reduce to the case where Σ is a reduced root system.

Consider $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^{\times})$. Note that N is a free $\mathbf{Z}$ module. Furthermore, there exists a positive integer m such that $P(\Sigma)$ is a sublattice of $\{\beta/m | \beta \in N\}$. Thus we may extend g to a (not necessarily unique) element of $\mathrm{Hom}(P(\Sigma), \mathcal{C}^{\times})$. Hence, it is sufficient to prove the assertions of the theorem with $\mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^{\times})$ replaced by $\mathrm{Hom}(P(\Sigma), \mathcal{C}^{\times})$.

Recall that $\{\varphi_\lambda | \lambda \in P^{+}_{\Theta}\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$. Thus, the first asssertion of the lemma is equivalent to g restricts to an action on $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$ if and only if g acts trivially on $Q(2\Sigma)$.

Assume first that g sends $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$ to itself. Given $X = \sum_\beta a_\beta z^\beta$, set $\mathrm{Supp}(X) = \{z^\beta | a_\beta \neq 0\}$. Let δ denote the half sum of the positive restricted roots in Σ. By [H, Corollary 10.2], $2(\delta, \tilde{\alpha}_i) = (\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i)$ and $\tilde{s}_i(\delta) = \delta - \tilde{\alpha}_i$ for each $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in \Sigma$. It follows that $2\delta \in P(2\Sigma)$. By say [H, Theorem 10.3(e)], $w\delta = \delta$ if and only if $w = 1$ and thus $w\delta = w'\delta$ if and only if $w = w'$. Thus for each i such that $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in \Sigma$, we can write

$$m_\delta = z^{2\delta} + z^{2\delta - 2\tilde{\alpha}_i} + \sum_{\beta \neq 2\delta, \beta \neq 2\delta - 2\tilde{\alpha}_i} a_\beta z^\beta \tag{6.4}$$

for some scalars a_β.

By (6.4), we have

$$g\cdot m_\delta = g(2\delta)z^\delta + g(2\delta-2\tilde\alpha_i)z^{\delta-\tilde\alpha_i} + \sum_{\beta\neq\delta,\beta\neq\delta-\tilde\alpha_i} g(\beta)a_\beta z^\beta. \tag{6.5}$$

Note that $\mathrm{Supp}(g\cdot m_\delta)=\mathrm{Supp}(m_\delta)$ and $g\cdot m_\delta\in\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$. Recall that the m_β, for $\beta\in P^+_\Theta$, form a basis for $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$. Furthermore, by [H, Section 13.2, Lemma A], the sets $\mathrm{Supp}(m_\beta)$ are pairwise disjoint. Hence (6.5) implies that $g\cdot m_\delta$ is equal to $g(2\delta)m_\delta$. It further follows from (6.5) that $g(2\delta)=g(2\delta-2\tilde\alpha_i)=g(2\delta)g(2\tilde\alpha_i)^{-1}$. Therefore $g(2\tilde\alpha_i)=1$ for all i. Hence g acts trivially on $Q(2\Sigma)$.

Now assume that $g\in\mathrm{Hom}(P(\Sigma),\mathcal{C}^\times)$ such that $g(2\tilde\alpha_i)=1$ for all $\alpha_i\in\pi-\pi_\Theta$. Note that the set 2Σ is a root system with respect to the Cartan inner product (of the same type as Σ) with set of positive simple roots equal to $\{2\tilde\alpha_i|\alpha_i\in\pi-\pi_\Theta\}$. Since we are assuming that Σ is reduced, we can find a semisimple Lie algebra L with root system equal to 2Σ. It is well known that the characters of the finite dimensional simple modules associated to L are parametrized by the dominant integral weights P^+_Θ and form a basis for $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$. Let X_λ be the character associated to the finite dimensional simple L module $V(\lambda)$ of highest weight λ for $\lambda\in P^+_\Theta$. Now the root vectors of L have weight β, for $\beta\in 2\Sigma$. Set $\lambda+Q(2\Sigma)=\{\lambda+\beta|\beta\in Q(2\Sigma)\}$. It follows that the weights of $V(\lambda)$ are contained in the set $\lambda+Q(2\Sigma)$. In particular, $\mathrm{Supp}(X_\lambda)\subset\lambda+Q(2\Sigma)$. Since g acts as the identity on $Q(2\Sigma)$, it follows that $g\cdot z^{\lambda-\beta}=g(\lambda)z^{\lambda-\beta}$ for all $\beta\in Q(2\Sigma)$. Therefore $g\cdot X_\lambda$ is equal to $g(\lambda)X_\lambda$ for each $\lambda\in P^+_\Theta$. Thus g sends $\mathcal{C}[2\Sigma]^W$ to itself. □

Set $\mathcal{G}_\theta$ equal to the subgroup of $\mathrm{Hom}(N,\mathcal{C}^\times)$ which acts trivially on $Q(2\Sigma)$. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_\theta$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}(N/Q(2\Sigma),\mathcal{C}^\times)$. Note that $N/Q(2\Sigma)$ is isomorphic to the direct product of $|\mathcal{S}|$ copies of $\mathbf{Z}_2$. Thus $\mathcal{G}_\theta\cong\mathrm{Hom}(N/Q(2\Sigma),\{1,-1\})\cong\mathbf{Z}_2^{|\mathcal{S}|}$.

Recall that $\{B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}|\mathbf{s}\in\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{d}\in\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})\}$ is a complete set of distinct representatives for the orbits of $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ under the action of $\mathbf{H}$. Set $\mathcal{O}(B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}},B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{d}'})$ equal to the orbit containing $(B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}},B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{d}'})$ under the action of $\mathbf{H}\times\mathbf{H}$. Note that $\{\mathcal{O}(B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}},B'_{\theta,\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{d}})|\mathbf{s},\mathbf{s}'\in\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}'\in\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})\}$ is a complete list of the distinct orbits of $\mathcal{B}_\theta\times\mathcal{B}_\theta$ under this action. Given $\mathbf{s},\mathbf{s}'\in\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}'\in\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$, set

$$\varphi^\lambda_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}'}=\varphi^\lambda_{B,B'}$$

where $B = B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$, $B' = \chi_{\mathbf{c}}(B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{d}'})$, and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ is chosen to satisfy $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{d}^{-1}\mathbf{d}'$.

Theorem 6.5 *The set* $\{\varphi_\lambda | \lambda \in P^+_\Theta\}$ *is a* W *invariant zonal spherical family associated to an element of* $\mathcal{O}(B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}, B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{d}'})$ *if and only if there exists* $g \in \mathcal{G}_\theta$ *such that*

$$\varphi_\lambda = g \cdot \varphi^\lambda_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}'} \tag{6.6}$$

for all $\lambda \in P^+_\Theta$. *In particular, if* $\mathcal{S}$ *is empty, then* g *in (6.6) is just the identity map.*

Proof: Let $B = B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ and $B' = \chi_{\mathbf{c}}(B_{\theta,\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{d}'})$, where $\mathbf{c}$ is the element of $\mathbf{D}$ which satisfies $\mathbf{c}^2 = \mathbf{d}^{-1}\mathbf{d}'$ chosen above. By Corollary 5.4, $\{\varphi^\lambda_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}'} | \lambda \in P^+_\Theta\}$ is a a W invariant zonal spherical family associated to (B, B'). Let $\{\varphi_\lambda | \lambda \in P^+_\lambda\}$ be another zonal spherical family associated to an element in $\mathcal{O}_1$. By Theorem 6.3, there exists $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathcal{C}^\times)$ such that

$$\{\varphi_\lambda | \lambda \in P^+_\Theta\} = g \cdot \{\varphi^\lambda_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}'} | \lambda \in P^+_\Theta\}.$$

The result now follows from Lemma 6.4. □

Consider the case when $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$ is an irreducible pair. There are three possibilities:

(i) $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are both empty.

(ii) $\mathcal{S}$ is empty and $\mathcal{D}$ has exactly one element.

(iii) $\mathcal{S}$ has exactly one element and $\mathcal{D}$ is empty.

Note that when $\mathcal{S}$ is empty, $\mathcal{G}_\theta$ is the trivial group. In the first case, $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ is a single orbit under the action of $\mathbf{H}$. Thus Theorem 6.5 implies that we can associate a unique W invariant zonal spherical family to $\mathcal{B}_\theta$ when both $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are empty. Suppose $\mathcal{D} = \{\alpha_i\}$ as in case (ii). Then the set $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ is a set of n-tuples which vary only in the entry d_i. Thus, there is a unique two-parameter set of W invariant zonal spherical families associated to $\mathcal{B}_\theta$. If $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ satisfy condition (iii) then $\mathcal{G}_\theta$ is a cyclic group of order 2. In this case, we can associate exactly two two-parameter sets of W invariant zonal spherical families to $\mathcal{B}_\theta$.

It should be noted that the existence of "two-parameter" families of W zonal spherical functions associated to a quantum symmetric pair has appeared in the literature in a few special cases. Indeed, two-parameter families of zonal sperical fuctions are studied in [K1] when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{sl}\ 2$ and $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$ is of type **AI** and in [DK] when $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$ is of type **AIV**. (Types **AI** and **AIV** are explained in the next section.)

7 Irreducible Symmetric Pairs

By [A, 2.5 and 5.1], the pair $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$ is irreducible if and only if

(7.1) $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple or

(7.2) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ where both $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ are simple. Here $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is generated by $\{e_i, f_i, h_i | 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is generated by $\{e_{i+m}, f_{i+m}, h_{i+m} | 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Moreover,

$$e_i \longrightarrow e_{i+m}, f_i \longrightarrow f_{i+m}, h_i \longrightarrow h_{i+m}$$

defines an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{g}_1$ to $\mathfrak{g}_2$, and θ is defined by

$$\theta(e_i) = f_{i+m}, \quad \theta(f_i) = e_{i+m}, \quad \theta(h_i) = h_{i+m}.$$

Assume for the moment that $\mathfrak{g}$ and θ are as described in (7.2). In this case, both $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are empty sets. The group T_Θ is generated by $t_i t_{i+m}^{-1}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and the algebra $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ is generated by T_Θ together with the elements $B_i = y_i t_i + t_{i+m}^{-1} x_{i+m} t_i$ and $B_{i+m} = y_{i+m} t_{i+m} + t_i^{-1} x_i t_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Now consider the case when $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple. We use the classification in [A] (see also [He, Chapter X, Section F]) to give a complete list of the subalgebras $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ associated to irreducible pairs $\mathfrak{g}, \theta$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ simple. In each case, we describe π_Θ, $\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{D}$, the permutation p when p is not the identity, and $B_i, \alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta$. This information is enough to determine all the generators of $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ since $\mathcal{M}$ is just the quantized enveloping algebra associated to the root system π_Θ considered as a subset of π and T_Θ is generated by the sets $\{t_i | \alpha_i \in \pi_\Theta\}$ and $\{t_i t_{p(i)}^{-1} | \alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta\}$.

We use below the numbering of the vertices of the Dynkin diagram given in [H, Section 11.4]. It should be noted that when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type D_n, the roots α_n and α_{n-1} can be reordered with n and $n-1$ interchanged.

Type AI $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_n, π_Θ is the empty set, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are both empty, $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Type AII $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_n, where $n = 2m+1$ is odd and $n \geq 3$, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_{2j+1} | 0 \leq j \leq m\}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are both empty, $B_i = y_it_i + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i-1}x_{i+1})t_i^{-1}x_i]t_i$, for $i = 2j, 1 \leq j \leq m$.

Type AIII *Case 1*: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_n, r is an integer such that $2 \leq r \leq n/2$ $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_j |\ r+1 \leq j \leq n-r\}$, $\mathcal{S}$ is empty, $\mathcal{D} = \{\alpha_r\}$, p sends i to $n-i+1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_{p(i)}t_{p(i)}^{-1}t_i \ \text{ for } \ 1 \leq i \leq r-1 \ \text{ and } \ n-r+2 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$B_r = y_rt_r + d_r[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{r+1})(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{r+2})\cdots(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{n-r})t_{p(r)}^{-1}x_{p(r)}]t_r,$$

and

$$B_{p(r)} = y_{p(r)}t_{p(r)} + (-1)^{n-2r}[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{n-r})(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{n-r-1})\cdots(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{r+1})t_r^{-1}x_r]t_{p(r)}.$$

Case 2: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_n where $n = 2m+1$, π_Θ is empty, $\mathcal{D}$ is empty, $\mathcal{S} = \{\alpha_{m+1}\}$, p sends i to $n-i+1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_{p(i)}t_{p(i)}^{-1}t_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $m+2 \leq i \leq n$, and $B_{m+1} = y_{m+1}t_{m+1} + q_{m+1}^{-2}x_{m+1} + s_{m+1}t_{m+1}$.

Type AIV Same as type AIII, case 1, with $r = 1$.

Type BI $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type B_n, r is an integer such that $2 \leq r \leq n$, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_i | r+1 \leq i \leq n\}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are empty, $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and

$$B_r = y_rt_r + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{r+1}\cdots x_{n-1}x_n^{(2)}x_{n-1}\cdots x_{r+1})t_rx_r]t_r^{-1}.$$

Type BII Same as type BI, Case 1, only $r = 1$.

Type CI $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type C_n, π_Θ is empty, $\mathcal{D}$ is empty, $\mathcal{S} = \{\alpha_n\}$, $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $B_n = y_nt_n + q_n^{-2}x_n + s_nt_n$.

Type CII *Case 1*: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type C_n, r is an even integer such that $1 \leq r \leq (n-1)$, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_{2j-1} | 1 \leq j \leq r/2\} \cup \{\alpha_j | r+1 \leq j \leq n\}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are both empty,

$$B_i = y_it_i + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i-1}x_{i+1})t_i^{-1}x_i]t_i$$

for $i = 2j, 1 \leq j \leq (r-2)/2$ and

$$B_r = y_rt_r + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{r-1}x_{r+1}\cdots x_{n-1}x_nx_{n-1}\cdots x_{r+1})t_r^{-1}x_r]t_r.$$

Case 2: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type C_n where n is even, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_{2j-1}|1 \leq j \leq n/2\}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are both empty, $B_i = y_it_i + (\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i-1}x_{i+1})t_i^{-1}x_i$ for $i = 2j, 1 \leq j \leq (n-2)/2$ and $B_n = y_nt_n + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{n-1}^{(2)})t_n^{-1}x_n]t_n$.

Type DI *Case 1*: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type D_n, r is an integer such that $2 \leq r \leq n-2$, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_i|r+1 \leq i \leq n\}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are both empty, $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, and

$$B_r = y_rt_r + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{r+1}\cdots x_{n-2}x_{n-1}x_nx_{n-2}\cdots x_{r+1})t_r^{-1}x_r]t_r.$$

Case 2: We assume $n \geq 4$ (the case when $n = 3$ is the same as type AI.) $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type D_n, π_Θ is empty, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are both empty, $p(i) = i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, $p(n-1) = n$, and $p(n) = n-1$ and $B_i = y_it_i + t_{p(i)}^{-1}x_{p(i)}t_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Case 3: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type D_n, π_Θ is empty, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are both empty, and $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Type DII This is the same as DI, Case 1, with $r = 1$.

Type DIII *Case 1*: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type D_n where n is even, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_{2i-1}|1 \leq i \leq n/2\}$, $\mathcal{D}$ is empty, $\mathcal{S} = \{\alpha_n\}$, $B_i = y_it_i + (\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i-1}x_{i+1})t_i^{-1}x_i$ for $i = 2j, 1 \leq j \leq (n-2)/2$, and $B_n = y_nt_n + q_n^{-2}x_n + s_nt_n$.

Case 2: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type D_n, n is odd, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_{2i-1}|1 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2\}$, $\mathcal{S}$ is empty, $\mathcal{D} = \{\alpha_{n-1}\}$, $B_i = y_it_i + (\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{i-1}x_{i+1})t_i^{-1}x_i$ for $i = 2j, 1 \leq j \leq (n-3)/2$, $p(j) = j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-2$, $p(n) = n-1$, and $p(n-1) = p(n)$, $B_{n-1} = y_{n-1}t_{n-1} + d_{n-1}[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{n-2})t_n^{-1}x_n]t_n$ and $B_n = y_nt_n - [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_{n-2})t_{n-1}^{-1}x_{n-1}]t_n$.

Type E1, EV, EVIII $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type E6,E7, E8 respectively, π_Θ is empty, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are both empty, and $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_i$, for all $\alpha_i \in \pi$.

Type EII $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type E6, π_Θ is empty, both $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are empty, $p(1) = 6, p(3) = 5, p(4) = 4, p(2) = 2, p(5) = 3$, and $p(6) = 1$, and $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_{p(i)}t_{p(i)}^{-1}t_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$.

Type EIII $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type E6, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5\}$, $\mathcal{S}$ is empty, $\mathcal{D} = \{\alpha_1\}$, $p(1) = 6, p(3) = 5, p(4) = 4, p(2) = 2, p(5) = 3, p(6) = 1$,

$$B_1 = y_1t_1 + d_1[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_3)(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_4)(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_5)t_6^{-1}x_6]t_1,$$

$$B_6 = y_6t_6 + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_5)(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_4)(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_3)t_1^{-1}x_1]t_6,$$

and

$$B_2 = y_2t_2 + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_4x_3x_5x_4)t_2^{-1}x_2]t_2.$$

Type EIV $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type E6, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_2\}$, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are both empty,

$$B_1 = y_1t_1 + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_3x_4x_5x_2x_4x_3)t_1^{-1}x_1]t_1$$

and

$$B_6 = y_6t_6 + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_5x_4x_3x_2x_4x_5)t_6^{-1}x_6]t_6.$$

Type EVI $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type E7, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_7, \alpha_5, \alpha_2\}$, both $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are empty, $B_6 = y_6t_6 + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_7x_5)t_6^{-1}x_6]t_6$, $B_4 = y_4t_4 + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_2x_5)t_4^{-1}x_4]t_4$, and $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_i$ for $i = 1, 3$.

Type EVII $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type E7, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5\}$, $\mathcal{D}$ is empty, $\mathcal{S} = \{\alpha_7\}$,

$$B_1 = y_1t_1 + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_3x_4x_2x_5x_4x_3)t_1^{-1}x_1]t_1,$$

$$B_6 = y_6t_6 + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_5x_4x_2x_3x_4x_5)t_6^{-1}x_6]t_6,$$

and $B_7 = y_7t_7 + q_7^{-2}x_7 + s_7t_7$.

Type EIX $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type E8, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5\}$, $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are both empty,

$$B_1 = y_1t_1 + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_3x_4x_2x_5x_4x_3)t_1^{-1}x_1]t_1,$$

$$B_6 = y_6t_6 + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_5x_4x_2x_3x_4x_5)t_6^{-1}x_6]t_6,$$

and $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_i$ for $i = 7, 8$.

Type FI $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type F4, π_Θ is empty, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are empty, $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Type FII $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type F4, $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$, both $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are empty, and

$$B_4 = y_4t_4 + [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_3x_2x_1x_3x_2x_3)t_4^{-1}x_4]t_4$$

Type G $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type G2, π_Θ is empty, $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are both empty, and $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Set $B_i = y_it_i$ for $\alpha_i \in \pi_\Theta$. Recall that $\mathcal{M}^+ = \mathcal{M} \cap U^+$. Given $\alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta$, let Z_i be the element in $\mathcal{M}^+$ such that

$$\tilde{\theta}(y_i) = (\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_{p(i)}^{-1}x_{p(i)}.$$

Theorem 7.4 of [L4] (see also [L4, Variations 1 and 2]) gives most of the relations satisfied by the generators of $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ and a procedure for computing the remaining ones. (This proof of this result is stated in [L4] for the $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ where $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{C}[q]_{(q-1)})$ and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{C}[q]_{(q-1)})$, but the arguments work for all $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$, for $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$.) Using the above complete list of the left coideal subalgebras $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$, we make these relations precise. In order to make the notation easier in the following theorem, we define a modified version p' of the permutation p such that $p' = p$ on the set $\{i|\alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta\}$ and $p'(j) = 0$ for all j in the set $\{j|\alpha_j \in \pi_\Theta\}$.

Theorem 7.1 *The left coideal subalgebra $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ of U is the algebra generated over $\mathcal{M}^+T_\Theta$ by the elements B_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$ subject to the following relations:*

(i) $\tau(\lambda)B_i\tau(-\lambda) = q^{-(\lambda,\alpha_i)}B_i$ *for all* $\tau(\lambda) \in T_\Theta$ *and* $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(ii) $t_j^{-1}x_jB_i - B_it_j^{-1}x_j = \delta_{ij}(t_j - t_j^{-1})/(q_j - q_j^{-1})$ *for all* $\alpha_j \in \pi_\Theta$ *and* $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(iii) If $a_{ij} = 0$, *then*

$$\begin{gathered} B_iB_j - B_jB_i = \\ \delta_{p'(i),j}(q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1}(-d_i[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_{p(i)}^{-2}]t_it_{p(i)} - d_{p(i)}[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_{p(i)})t_i^{-2}]t_it_{p(i)}). \end{gathered}$$

(iv) If $a_{ij} = -1$, *then*

$$\begin{gathered} B_i^2B_j - (q_i + q_i^{-1})B_iB_jB_i + B_jB_i^2 = \\ \delta_{i,p'(i)}q_i^{-1}[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_i^{-2}]t_i^2B_j - \delta_{i,p'(j)}(q_i + q_i^{-1})B_i(d_iq_i^{-1}t_j^{-1}t_i + d_jq_i^2t_i^{-1}t_j). \end{gathered}$$

(v) If $a_{ij} = -2$, *then*

$$\begin{gathered} B_i^3B_j + (q_i^2 + 1 + q_i^{-2})(-B_i^2B_jB_i + B_iB_jB_i^2) - B_jB_i^3 = \\ \delta_{i,p'(i)}q_i^{-1}(q_i + q_i^{-1})^2(B_iB_j - B_jB_i). \end{gathered}$$

(vi) If $a_{ij} = -3$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} &B_i^4B_j + B_jB_i^4 - (q_i^3 + q_i + q_i^{-1} + q_i^{-3})B_i^3B_jB_i + B_iB_jB_i^3) \\ &+ (q_i^4 + q_i^2 + 2 + q_i^{-2} + q_i^{-4})B_i^2B_jB_i^2 \\ &= (q_i^{-5} + 2q_i^{-3} + 4q_i^{-1} + 2q_i + q_i^3)(B_i^2B_j + B_jB_i^2) \\ &- (q_i^4 + 4q_i^2 + 5 + 5q_i^{-2} + 4q_i^{-4} + q_i^{-6})B_iB_jB_i - (q_i^2 + 1 + q_i^{-2})B_j. \end{aligned}$$

The relations in Theorem 7.1 can be checked directly in a case-by-case fashion using the list of algebras $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$ above. Indeed, this is the approach used in [L1] which handles most of the cases when $\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_{p(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Similar computations can be made in the other cases. However, in general, these computations are rather brutal. In this paper, we use the alternate, quicker method derived from the proof of [L2, Theorem 7.4]. First, we review some notation from [L4] and prove a small technical lemma.

Let G^+ be the subalgebra of U generated by $x_it_i^{-1}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. As in [L4, (4.2)]. we have the following two direct sum decompositions

$$U = \sum_{\lambda,\mu} U^-_{-\lambda}G^+_\mu U^o \text{ and } U^o = \sum_\lambda \mathcal{C}\tau(\lambda).$$

Set $\pi_{\lambda,\mu}$ equal to the projection of U onto $U^-_{-\lambda}G^+_\mu U^o$ using the above decomposition of U and set P_γ equal to the projection of U^o onto $\mathcal{C}\tau(\gamma)$ using the decomposition of U^o.

Let Z be an element of $\mathcal{M}^+$. The form of the right adjoint action (1.2) implies that $[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z)t^{-2}_{p(i)}]$ is an element of $\mathcal{M}t^{-2}_{p(i)}$. Since $t^{-1}_{p(i)}t_i$ is in T_Θ, it follows that $[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z)t^{-2}_{p(i)}]t_{p(i)}t_i$ is an element of $B_{\theta,\mathbf{s},\mathbf{d}}$.

Lemma 7.2 *Let $Z \in \mathcal{M}^+$ be a vector of weight γ. For all $\alpha_j \in \pi_\Theta$ and each $\mu \in Q^+(\pi)$,*

$$\pi_{\alpha_j,\mu} \circ \Delta((\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z)t_j^{-1}x_j) = \delta_{\mu,\gamma}t_j^{-1}x_j \otimes [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z)t_j^{-2}]t_j.$$

Proof: We show how the argument works when γ is a simple root. The general case follows similarly using induction on the weight of Z. Thus, assume that $Z = x_i$ for some $\alpha_i \in \pi_\Theta$. Recall ([Jo, 3.2.9]) that

$$\Delta(x_k) = x_k \otimes 1 + t_k \otimes x_k$$

for each $1 \leq k \leq n$. Hence (1.2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta((\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_i)(t_j^{-1}x_j)) \\
&= -(x_it_i^{-1} \otimes t_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes x_it_i^{-1})(t_j^{-1}x_j \otimes t_j^{-1} + 1 \otimes t_j^{-1}x_j) \\
&+ (t_i^{-1} \otimes t_i^{-1})(t_j^{-1}x_j \otimes t_j^{-1} + 1 \otimes t_j^{-1}x_j)(x_i \otimes 1 + t_i \otimes x_i).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

Inspection of (7.1) shows that $\pi_{\alpha_j,\mu} \circ \Delta((\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_i)t_j^{-1}x_j) = 0$ unless $\mu = \alpha_i$. Thus, a straightforward computation using (7.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{\alpha_j,\alpha_i} \circ \Delta((\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_i)t_j^{-1}x_j) &= t_j^{-1}x_j \otimes (-x_i t_i^{-1}t_j^{-1} + q^{-(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)}t_i^{-1}t_j^{-1}x_i)\\ &= t_j^{-1}x_j \otimes [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ x_i)t_j^{-2}]t_j.\square\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 7.1: Relations (i) and (ii) are just (i) and (ii) of [L4, Theorem 7.4]. We check relations (iii) through (vi).

Given B_i and B_j, set

$$Y = Y(B_i, B_j) = \sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} B_i^{1-a_{ij}-m} B_j B_i^m.$$

Set $\lambda = (1 - a_{ij})\alpha_i + \alpha_j$. By the proof of [L4, Theorem 7.4] (see also [L4, Variations 1 and 2]),

$$0 = ((P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0}) \otimes Id)\Delta(Y). \tag{7.2}$$

Let $Y' \in B$ such that

$$\tau(\lambda) \otimes Y' = ((P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0}) \otimes Id)(\Delta(Y) - \tau(\lambda) \otimes Y).$$

Then by (7.2), $Y + Y' = 0$. Hence, we obtain relations (iii)-(vi) by evaluating

$$((P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0}) \otimes Id)(\Delta(Y) - \tau(\lambda) \otimes Y)$$

to find Y'.

By the Lemma 7.1 and [L4, (6.5) and (7.15)], we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta(B_i) = \Delta(y_i t_i + d_i[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_{p(i)}^{-1}x_{p(i)}]t_i + s_i t_i)\\ &= y_i t_i \otimes 1 + t_i \otimes B_i + t_{p(i)}^{-1}x_{p(i)}t_i \otimes d_i[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_{p(i)}^{-2}]t_{p(i)}t_i\\ &+ \sum_{\gamma > \alpha_i} G_\gamma^+ t_i \otimes B.\end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Note that the s_i are hidden in the above form of the coproduct. This fact is the key reason that the relations are independent of the choice of s_i. (See also [L2, Lemma 5.7].)

The case when α_i is in π_Θ follows directly from [L4, 7.20]. Indeed, checking the possibilities, a_{ij} must equal $0, -1$, or -2 in this case. Furthermore

$\delta_{p'(i),j} = \delta_{i,p'(j)} = \delta_{i,p'(i)} = 0$ and thus (iii)-(v) look just like the quantum Serre relations between y_it_i and y_jt_j. This is exactly what is explained in the paragraph concerning [L4, 7.20]. Thus for the remainder of the proof, we assume that $\alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta$.

Suppose that $\alpha_j \notin \pi_\Theta$. By (i), it follows B_j commutes with each $t_ix_i^{-1}$, $\alpha_i \in \pi_\Theta$. Hence B_j commutes with every element of $\mathcal{M} \cap G^+$. In particular, $[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_{p(i)}^{-2}]t_{p(i)}^2$, which is an element of $\mathcal{M} \cap G^+$ for each $\alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta$, commutes with B_j for all $\alpha_j \notin \pi_\Theta$.

Case 1: $a_{ij} = 0$. In this case, $Y = B_iB_j - B_jB_i$ and $\lambda = \alpha_i + \alpha_j$. Note that this expression is symmetric in i and j up to a negative sign. In particular, we may assume that α_j is also not in π_Θ. Expression (7.3) implies that and

$$\begin{aligned}&((P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0}) \otimes Id)(\varDelta(B_iB_j) - \tau(\lambda) \otimes B_iB_j)\\ &= (P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0})([t_{p(i)}^{-1}x_{p(i)}t_iy_jt_j \otimes d_i[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_{p(i)}^{-2}]t_{p(i)}t_i).\end{aligned}$$

Since $t_{p(i)}^{-1}x_{p(i)}t_iy_jt_j = \delta_{p(i),j}(q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1}t_i(t_j - t_j^{-1})$, it follows that

$$(P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0})(t_{p(i)}^{-1}x_{p(i)}t_iy_jt_j) = \delta_{p(i),j}(q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&((P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0}) \otimes Id)(\varDelta(B_iB_j) - \tau(\lambda) \otimes B_iB_j)\\ &= \delta_{p(i),j}(q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1}t_it_j \otimes (d_i[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_{p(i)}^{-2}]t_{p(i)}t_i.\end{aligned}$$

The same argument shows that

$$\begin{aligned}&((P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0}) \otimes Id)(\varDelta(B_jB_i) - \tau(\lambda) \otimes B_jB_i)\\ &= \delta_{p(j),i}(q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1}t_it_j \otimes (d_j[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_j)t_{p(j)}^{-2}]t_{p(j)}t_j.\end{aligned}$$

Relation (iii) follows from the fact that $\delta_{p(j),i} = \delta_{p(i),j} = \delta_{p'(i),j}$.

Case 2: $a_{ij} = -1$. In this case

$$Y = B_i^2B_j - (q_i + q_i^{-1})B_iB_jB_i + B_jB_i^2.$$

Recall that if $p(i) = i$, then $d_i = 1$. Furthermore, checking the possibilities, we have $i = p(j)$ if and only if $\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_{p(i)}$ and $\Theta(\alpha_j) = -\alpha_{p(j)}$. Thus

$$(P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0}) \otimes Id)(\varDelta(Y) - \tau(\lambda) \otimes Y) = (P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0})(\delta_{i,p(i)}(G_1) + \delta_{i,p(j)}(G_2))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}G_1 &= t_i^{-1}x_it_iy_it_it_j \otimes [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_i^{-2}]t_it_iB_j \\ &- (q_i + q_i^{-1})t_i^{-1}x_it_it_jy_it_i \otimes [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_i^{-2}]t_it_iB_j \\ &+ t_jt_i^{-1}x_it_iy_it_i \otimes B_j[(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_i^{-2}]t_it_i\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}G_2 &= (t_j^{-1}x_jt_it_iy_jt_j \otimes d_it_j^{-1}t_iB_i + t_it_j^{-1}x_jt_iy_jt_j \otimes B_id_it_j^{-1}t_i \\ &- (q_i + q_i^{-1})(t_j^{-1}x_jt_iy_jt_jt_i \otimes d_it_j^{-1}t_iB_i + t_it_i^{-1}x_it_jy_it_i \otimes d_jB_it_i^{-1}t_j) \\ &+ (t_i^{-1}x_it_jt_iy_it_i \otimes d_jt_i^{-1}t_jB_i + t_i^{-1}x_it_jy_it_it_i \otimes d_jt_i^{-1}t_jB_i).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ are elements of $Q(\pi)$ such that $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 2\alpha_i$. Recall that $\lambda = 2\alpha_i + \alpha_j$. Note that

$$(P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0})(\tau(\beta_1)x_j\tau(\beta_1)y_j\tau(\beta_3)) = (q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1}q^{-(\beta_1,\alpha_j)}t_i^2t_j.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0})(G_1) &= (q_i - q_i^{-1})[t_i^2t_j(q_i^{-2} - (q_i + q_i^{-1})q_i^{-1} + q_i^{-2}) \otimes [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_i^{-2}]t_i^2B_j \\ &= -q_i^{-1}t_i^2t_j \otimes [(\mathrm{ad}_r\ Z_i)t_i^{-2}]t_i^2B_j.\end{aligned}$$

A similar computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}&(P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0})(G_2) = (q_i - q_i^{-1})[t_i^2t_j(q_i^2q_i^{-3} + q_i - (q_i + q_i^{-1})q_iq_i^{-3}) \otimes d_iB_it_j^{-1}t_i \\ &+ t_i^2t_j(-(q_i + q_i^{-1})q_i + q_i^{-1}q_i^3 + q_iq_i^3) \otimes d_jB_it_i^{-1}t_j] \\ &= t_i^2t_j \otimes [q_i^{-1}(q_i + q_i^{-1})d_iB_it_j^{-1}t_i + q_i^2(q_i + q_i^{-1})d_jB_it_i^{-1}t_j].\end{aligned}$$

Case 3: $a_{ij} = -2$. Checking the possibilities, we see that $\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$ and $\Theta(\alpha_j) = -\alpha_j$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{S}$ is equal to $\{\alpha_j\}$. Thus $B_i = y_it_i + q_i^{-2}x_i$ and $B_j = y_jt_j + q_j^{-2}x_j + s_jt_j$. It follows that $\varDelta(B_i) = B_i \otimes 1 + t_i \otimes B_i$ and $\varDelta(B_j) = (y_jt_j + q_j^{-2}x_j) \otimes 1 + t_j \otimes B_j$. So

$$\begin{aligned}&(P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0}) \otimes Id)(\varDelta(Y) - \tau(\lambda) \otimes Y) \\ &= (P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0}) \otimes Id)(H_1 \otimes B_iB_j + H_2 \otimes B_jB_i)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}H_1 &= B_i^2t_it_j + B_it_iB_it_j + t_iB_iB_it_j \\ &+ (q_i^2 + 1 + q_i^{-2})[-t_iB_it_jB_i - B_it_it_jB_i + t_it_jB_iB_i]\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 = (q_i^2 + 1 + q_i^{-2})(-B_iB_it_jt_i + B_it_jB_it_i + B_it_jt_iB_i) \\ - t_jB_iB_it_i - t_jB_it_iB_i - t_jt_iB_iB_i. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau_1 = \tau(\beta_1), \tau_2 = \tau(\beta_2)$ and $\tau_3 = \tau(\beta_3)$ where β_1, β_2, and β_3 are three elements in $Q(\pi)$ such that $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = \alpha_i + \alpha_j$. Relation (iv) now follows from the fact that

$$(P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0})\tau_1B_i\tau_2B_i\tau_3 = (P_\lambda \circ \pi_{0,0})(\tau_1q_i^{-2}x_i\tau_2y_it_i\tau_3) = q^{-(\beta_2,\alpha_i)}(q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1}t_i^3t_j.$$

Case 4: $a_{ij} = -3$. Again, checking the possibilities, we must have that $\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$, $\Theta(\alpha_j) = -\alpha_j$, and $\mathcal{S}$ is empty. Thus $B_k = y_kt_k + q_k^{-2}x_k$ and $\Delta(B_k) = B_k \otimes 1 + t_k \otimes B_k$ for $k = 1, 2$. The argument follows in a similar, although lengthier, manner to Case 3. $\square$

REFERENCES

[A] S. Araki, On root systems and an infinitesimal classification of irreducible symmetric spaces, *Journal of Mathematics, Osaka City University* **13** (1962), no. 1, 1-34.

[Di] M.S. Dijkhuizen, Some remarks on the construction of quantum symmetric spaces, In: *Representations of Lie Groups, Lie Algebras and Their Quantum Analogues,* Acta Appl. Math. **44** (1996), no. 1-2, 59-80.

[DN] M.S. Dijkhuizen and M. Noumi, A family of quantum projective spaces and related q-hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), no. 8, 3269-3296.

[D] J. Dixmier, *Algèbres Enveloppantes*, Cahiers Scientifiques, XXXVII, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1974).

[GK] A.M. Gavrilik and A. U. Klimyk, q-deformed orthogonal and pseudo-orthogonal algebras and their representations, *Lett. Math. Phys.***21** (1991), no. 3, 215-220.

[GI] A.M. Gavrilik and N.Z. Iorgov, q-Deformed algebras $U_q(\mathbf{so}\ n)$ and their representations, q-alg/9709036.

[GIK] A.M. Gavrilik and N.Z. Iorgov, Representations of the nonstandard algebras $U_q(\mathrm{so}(n))$ and $U_q(\mathrm{so}(n-1,1))$ in Gelfand-Tsetlin basis, *International*

Symposium on Mathematical and Theoretical Physics (Kyiv, 1997), Ukran. Fi z. Zh.**43** (1998), no. 6-7, 791-797.

[HKP] M. Havlček, A.U. Klimyk, and S. Pošta, Representations of the q-deformed algebra $U_{q'}(\mathrm{so}_4)$. J. Math. Phys.**42** (2001), no. 11, 5389-5416.

[He] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces,* Pure and Applied Mathematics **80**, Academic Press, New York (1978).

[He2] S. Helgason, *Geometric Analysis on Symmetric Spaces,* Mathematical surveys and monographs, American Mathematical Society, **39** (1994).

[H] J.E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1972).

[J] N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra II,* W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco (1980).

[Jo] A. Joseph, *Quantum Groups and Their Primitive Ideals*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1995).

[K1] T.H. Koornwinder, Askey-Wilson polynomials as zonal spherical functions on the SU(2) quantum group, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, **24** (1993), no. 3, 795-813.

[K2] T.H. Koornwinder, Askey-Wilson polynomials for root systems of type BC, In: *Hypergeometric functions on domains of positivity, Jack polynomials and applications*, Contemporary Mathematics **138** (1992) 189-204.

[KS] A. Klimyk and K. Schmudgen, *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1997).

[Kn] A. W. Knapp, *Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction,* Progress in Math. **140**, Birkhäuser, Boston (1996).

[L1] G. Letzter, Subalgebras which appear in quantum Iwasawa decompositions, *Canadian Journal of Math.* **49** (1997), no. 6, 1206-1223.

[L2] G. Letzter, Symmetric pairs for quantized enveloping algebras, *Journal of Algebra* **220** (1999), no. 2, 729-767.

[L3] G. Letzter, Harish-Chandra modules for quantum symmetric pairs, *Representation Theory, An Electronic Journal of the AMS* **4** (1999) 64-96.

[L4] G. Letzter, Coideal subalgebras and quantum symmetric pairs, MSRI volume, 1999 Hopf Algebra Workshop, to appear, (math.QA/0103228).

[Ma] I.G. Macdonald, Orthogonal polynomials associated with root systems, *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, **45** (2001), Article B45a.

[M] S. Montgomery, *Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings,* CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **82**, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1993).

[NS] M. Noumi and T. Sugitani, Quantum symmetric spaces and related q-orthogonal polynomials, In: *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics (ICGTMP)* (Toyonaka, Japan, 1994), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, N.J. (1995) 28-40.

[OV] A. L. Onishchik and E. B. Vinberg, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras III: Structure of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1994).